

STATE CAPITOL P.O. BOX 942849 SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0124 (916) 319-2800 FAX (916) 319-2810

## Assembly California Legislature Committee on Rules

#### RICHARD S. GORDON CHAIR

Thursday, May 08, 2014 8:50 AM State Capitol, Room 3162

#### CONSENT AGENDA

VICE CHAIR SCOTT WILK MEMBERS CHERYL R. BROWN ROCKY J. CHÁVEZ MATTHEW M. DABABNEH LORENA GONZALEZ CURT HAGMAN ADRIN NAZARIAN BILL QUIRK SEBASTIAN RIDLEY THOMAS MARIE WALDRON

FRANK BIGELOW (R-ALT.) KEN COOLEY (D-ALT.)

# Bill ReferralsPage 21. Consent Bill ReferralsPage 22. Bill Re-referralsPage 6ResolutionsPage 63. ACR 127 (Bonta)Relative to Bataan Death March Veterans Commemoration Day.4. ACR 140 (Weber)Relative to 60th Anniversary of Brown v. Board of Education.Page 12

#### Page 1 of 18

#### REFERRAL OF BILLS TO COMMITTEE

05/08/2014

Pursuant to the Assembly Rules, the following bills were referred to committee:

 Assembly Bill No.	Committee:
ACR 146	RLS.
AJR 43	W.,P. & W.
AJR 46	V.A.
AJR 47	PUB. S.
HR 36	RLS.
<u>HR 40</u>	RLS.
<u>SB 593</u>	J., E.D. & E.
<u>SB 663</u>	PUB. S.
<u>SB 673</u>	P.E.,R. & S.S.
<u>SB 674</u>	NAT. RES.
<u>SB 674</u>	L. GOV.
<u>SB 711</u>	U. & C.
<u>SB 712</u>	E.S. & T.M.
<u>SB 782</u>	REV. & TAX.
<u>SB 785</u>	L. GOV.
<u>SB 792</u>	L. GOV.
<u>SB 794</u>	PUB. S.
<u>SB 803</u>	L. GOV.
<u>SB 808</u>	PUB. S.
<u>SB 812</u>	E.S. & T.M.
<u>SB 833</u>	PUB. S.
<u>SB 852</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 853</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 854</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 855</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 856</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 857</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 858</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 859</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 860</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 861</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 862</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 863</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 864</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 865</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 866</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 867</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 868</u>	BUDGET

<u>SB 869</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 870</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 871</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 872</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 873</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 874</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 875</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 876</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 877</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 878</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 879</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 880</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 881</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 882</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 883</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 884</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 885</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 886</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 887</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 888</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 889</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 890</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 891</u>	BUDGET
<u>SB 907</u>	JUD.
<u>SB 971</u>	ED.
<u>SB 1033</u>	H. & C.D.
<u>SB 1033</u>	L. GOV.
<u>SB 1051</u>	B. & F.
<u>SB 1051</u>	JUD.
<u>SCR 75</u>	RLS.
<u>SCR 82</u>	J., E.D. & E.
<u>SCR 90</u>	NAT. RES.
<u>SCR 95</u>	AGRI.
<u>SCR 97</u>	RLS.
<u>SCR 98</u>	RLS.
<u>SCR 100</u>	RLS.
<u>SCR 101</u>	RLS.
<u>SCR 103</u>	J., E.D. & E.
<u>SCR 105</u>	RLS.
<u>SCR 109</u>	RLS.
<u>SCR 110</u>	RLS.
<u>SCR 111</u>	RLS.

<u>SCR 112</u>

RLS.



STATE CAPITOL P.O. BOX 942849 SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0124 (916) 319-2800 FAX (916) 319-2810 Assembly California Legislature Committee on Rules RICHARD S. GORDON CHAIR VICE CHAIR SCOTT WILK MEMBERS CHERYL R. BROWN ROCKY J. CHÁVEZ MATTHEW M. DABABNEH LORENA GONZALEZ CURT HAGMAN ADRIN NAZARIAN BILL QUIRK SEBASTIAN RIDLEY-THOMAS MARIE WALDRON

FRANK BIGELOW (R-ALT.) KEN COOLEY (D-ALT.)

# Memo

To:	Rules Committee Members
From:	Mukhtar Ali, Bill Referral Consultant
Date:	5/7/14
Re:	Consent Bill Referrals

Since you received the preliminary the referral for AJR 43 has changed and ACR 146 has been added.

Printed on Recycled Paper

RE-REFERRAL OF BILLS 05/08/2014	
RE-REFERRAL OF BILLS	
Assembly Bill No.	Committee:
<u>SB 445</u>	ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY AND TOXIC MATERIALS
<u>*SB 611</u>	TRANSPORTATION
<u>**SB 611</u>	UTILITIES AND COMMERCE



STATE CAPITOL P.O. BOX 942849 SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0124 (916) 319-2800 FAX (916) 319-2810 Assembly California Legislature **Committee on Rules** RICHARD S. GORDON CHAIR VICE CHAIR SCOTT WILK MEMBERS CHERYL R. BROWN ROCKY J. CHÁVEZ MATTHEW M. DABABNEH LORENA GONZALEZ CURT HAGMAN ADRIN NAZARIAN BILL QUIRK SEBASTIAN RIDLEY-THOMAS MARIE WALDRON

FRANK BIGELOW (R-ALT.) KEN COOLEY (D-ALT.)



To:	Rules Committee Members	
From:	Mukhtar Ali, Bill Referral Consultant	
Date:	5/7/14	
Re:	Consent Bill Re-referrals	

Since you received the preliminary there have been no changes.

Printed on Recycled Paper

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 127

#### **Introduced by Assembly Member Bonta**

April 1, 2014

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 127—Relative to Bataan Death March Veterans Commemoration Day.

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 127, as introduced, Bonta. Bataan Death March Veterans Commemoration Day.

This measure would declare April 9, 2014, and every April 9 thereafter, as Bataan Death March Veterans Commemoration Day.

Fiscal committee: no.

1 WHEREAS, On April 9, 1942, during World War II, the

2 Japanese Army forced 78,000 Filipino and American soldiers to

3 march 82 miles from Bataan to Camp O'Donnell; and

4 WHEREAS, These soldiers endured brutal conditions and 5 suffered heinous war crimes along the march; and

6 WHEREAS, Approximately 10,000 soldiers, both Filipino and

7 American, lost their lives during the march; and

8 WHEREAS, The Bataan Death March was the greatest loss of

9 American and Filipino prisoners of war during World War II; and

10 WHEREAS, Californians owe a debt of gratitude to the soldiers

11 who gave up their freedom and perished in service of their countries

- 12 to preserve our freedoms and our lives; and
- 13 WHEREAS, Knowledge of this historic event is passing out of
- 14 living memory as survivors pass away; and

1 WHEREAS, The sacrifices made by American and Filipino

veterans during the Bataan Death March have received little staterecognition in California; and

4 WHEREAS, The United States Federal Government annually

5 recognizes April 9th as National Former Prisoner of War Day;6 now, therefore, be it

7 *Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate* 

8 *thereof concurring*, That the Legislature declares that a day be set

9 aside for appreciation of the veterans of the Bataan Death March10 and a memoriam of the deceased; and be it further

11 *Resolved*, That April 9, 2014, and each 9th day of April

hereafter, is hereby designated and commemorated as Bataan Death

13 March Veterans Commemoration Day; and be it further

14 *Resolved*, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies

15 of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

0

#### ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES

#### Richard S. Gordon, Chair

#### ACR 127 (Bonta) – As Introduced: April 1, 2014

<u>SUBJECT</u>: Bataan Death March Veterans Commemoration Day.

<u>SUMMARY</u>: Declares April 9, 2014, and every April 9 thereafter, as Bataan Death March Veterans Commemoration Day. Specifically, <u>this resolution</u> makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) On April 9, 1942, during World War II, the Japanese Army forced 78,000 Filipino and American soldiers to march 82 miles from Bataan to Camp O'Donnell; and, during this march these soldiers endured brutal conditions and suffered heinous war crimes resulting in the death of 10,000 soldiers.
- 2) The Bataan Death March was the greatest loss of American and Filipino prisoners of war during World War II; and Californians owe a debt of gratitude to the soldiers who gave up their freedom and perished in service of their countries to preserve our freedoms and our lives.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

**REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:** 

Support

None on file

<u>Opposition</u>

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Nicole Willis / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Assembly Concurrent Resolution

No. 140

#### Introduced by Assembly Member Weber (Coauthors: Assembly Members Bradford, Brown, Hall, Holden, Jones-Sawyer, and Ridley-Thomas) (Coauthor: Senator Mitchell)

April 23, 2014

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 140—Relative to the 60th Anniversary of Brown v. Board of Education.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 140, as introduced, Weber. 60th Anniversary of Brown v. Board of Education.

This measure would commemorate the 60th Anniversary of the Brown v. Board of Education decision, and would urge the State Department of Education to provide model instructional materials to schools that encourage schools to observe the decision with appropriate educational activities that help pupils understand the importance of tolerance, humanity, and equality of opportunity.

Fiscal committee: yes.

1 WHEREAS, High-quality education is essential to an informed

2 citizenry, and is the foundation for democracy in the United States;3 and

4 WHEREAS, Access to a high-quality education is the gateway 5 to opportunity, America's promise to all; and

6 WHEREAS, A high-quality education for every citizen

7 regardless of race, religion, ethnic background, or economic

- 1 circumstance is a fundamental civil right under the American form
- 2 of government; and
- WHEREAS, Education is the passport of opportunity that needsto be equally available for all; and

5 WHEREAS, In 1896, the United States Supreme Court ruled

- 6 in Plessy v. Ferguson (1896) 163 U.S. 537 that segregation of the
- 7 races at public facilities was legal so long as these facilities were

8 "separate but equal," which legitimized segregated public schools;9 and

10 WHEREAS, In 1952 and 1953, the United States Supreme Court

11 heard oral arguments on consolidated cases on appeal from United

12 States District Courts of Kansas, Delaware, Virginia, and South

13 Carolina under the name of Brown v. Board of Education of

14 Topeka (1954) 347 U.S. 483, alleging similar arguments to those

15 offered by famed National Association for the Advancement of

16 Colored People (NAACP) legal counsel Charles H. Houston, who

17 played a role in nearly every civil rights desegregation case before

18 the United States Supreme Court between 1930 and Brown v.

19 Board of Education, that "separate but equal" schools were, in

20 fact, never equal and that these schools violated individual rights

to equal protection under the law guaranteed by the 14thAmendment to the United States Constitution; and

23 WHEREAS, The arguments were presented on behalf of the

24 NAACP by its Chief Counsel, Thurgood Marshall, who eventually

25 became the first African American United States Supreme Court

26 Justice; and

27 WHEREAS, Thurgood Marshall argued that segregated schools, 28 many of which were substandard, were psychologically damaging 29 to black children, causing low self-esteem and low self-worth, 30 inherently depriving these children of equal protection under the 31 law guaranteed by the 14th Amendment to the United States 32 Constitution, and that under that amendment states had no legally 33 valid reason to segregate and "use race as a factor in affording 34 educational opportunities to its citizens"; and

WHEREAS, Chief Justice of the United States Earl Warren wrote that "education is perhaps the most important function of state and local governments," and he persuaded each of the other eight justices of the Supreme Court that, even if schools were theoretically equal, the effect of segregation on black pupils "generates a feeling of inferiority as to their status in the

- 1 community that may affect their hearts and minds in a way unlikely
- 2 ever to be undone"; and

3 WHEREAS, On May 17, 1954, the United States Supreme Court 4 in Brown v. Board of Education unanimously overturned the 5 "separate but equal" doctrine of law; and

6 WHEREAS, The United States Supreme Court's decision

7 became the legal impetus to school desegregation throughout the

8 United States, and led to one of the most profound social

9 movements in the history of the United States; and

10 WHEREAS, The reaction to the Brown v. Board of Education 11 decision fueled emotions from both sides of the segregation

argument, leading to the "Little Rock Nine," a group of nine black

13 high school students who were the first black students to attend

14 the all white Little Rock High School in Arkansas. One of those

15 students was Melba Pattillo Beals, who finished her high school

16 education at the public Montgomery High School in Santa Rosa,

17 California, and later received her bachelor's degree from San

18 Francisco State University; and

19 WHEREAS, The activism generated to enforce the Brown v.

20 Board of Education decision was a catalyst to the Civil Rights 21 Movement for equality that gained momentum in the 1960s and

- 22 led to further desegregation of public facilities; and
- WHEREAS, The struggle for equal access to high-quality
  education continues today; now, therefore, be it
- 25 Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate

26 *thereof concurring*, That the Legislature commemorates the 60th

27 Anniversary of the historic Brown v. Board of Education decision,

28 one of the landmark United States Supreme Court decisions of the

29 20th Century; and be it further

30 *Resolved*, That the State Department of Education is urged to

31 provide model instructional materials to schools that encourage

32 schools to observe the Brown v. Board of Education decision with

33 appropriate educational activities that help pupils understand the

34 importance of tolerance, humanity, and equality of opportunity;

35 and be it further

36 *Resolved*, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the

37 California School Boards Association, which is encouraged to

38 disseminate copies to local boards of education throughout

39 California; and be it further

#### ACR 140

- *Resolved*, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of the resolution to the author for appropriate distribution. 1
- 2

0

#### ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES

#### Richard S. Gordon, Chair

#### ACR 140 (Weber) – As Introduced: April 23, 2014

<u>SUBJECT</u>: 60th Anniversary of Brown v. Board of Education.

<u>SUMMARY</u>: Commemorates the Brown v. Board of Education (1954) 347 U.S. 483 decision and urges the State Department of Education to provide model instructional materials to schools that encourages schools to observe the Brown v. Board of Education decision. Specifically, <u>this</u> <u>resolution</u> makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) In 1896, the United States Supreme Court ruled in Plessy v. Ferguson (1896) 163 U.S. 537 that the segregation of the races is legal as long as facilities are "separate but equal."
- On May 17, 1954, the United States Supreme Court in Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka (1954) 347 U.S. 483 unanimously overturned the "separate but equal" doctrine of law.
- 3) This highly controversial decision became the legal impetus to school desegregation throughout the United States and one of the most profound social revolutions in the country.
- 4) The activism generated to implement the Brown v. Board of Education decision was a catalyst to the Civil Rights Movement for equality that gained momentum in the 1960's which led to further desegregation of public facilities and which continues today.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

#### Support

California School Boards Association (CSBA)

### **Opposition**

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Nicole Willis / RLS. / (916) 319-2800



May 7, 2014

The Honorable Richard Gordon Chair, Assembly Committee on Rules California State Assembly State Capitol, Room 3013 Sacramento, CA 95814

ACR 140 (Weber): SPONSOR Assembly Committee on Rules Hearing Date: May 12, 2014

Dear Assembly Member Gordon:

On behalf of the California School Boards Association (CSBA), which represents nearly 1,000 school district and county boards of education statewide, I am writing to respectfully ask for your support of ACR 140 (Weber) when it comes before the Assembly Rules Committee on Monday, May 12, 2014.

ACR 140 commemorates the 60<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the groundbreaking U.S. Supreme Court decision in Brown v. Board of Education. On May 17, 1954, the court overturned a previous decision that segregation of races in public facilities was legal as long as the facilities were "separate but equal." That decision, Plessy v. Ferguson, legitimized segregated public schools. But in overturning that decision, the court in Brown v. Board of Education agreed that separate was not equal and that segregated schools caused harm to African American children. Brown v. Board of Education provided a critical catalyst for the Civil Rights Movement that continued well into the 1960s.

ACR 140 is certainly a celebration of what we have come through as a nation and a reminder of the struggles that people have experienced in their fight for equality. ACR 140 is especially important to school boards throughout California who are charged with serving our incredibly diverse population and providing all students with the education that they need to be successful in our democratic society.

Please support ACR 140 when it is heard in the Assembly Rules Committee on May 12, 2014.

Sincerely,

Endo Meyers

Dennis Meyers, CAE Assistant Executive Director Office of Governmental Relations

cc: The Honorable Shirley N. Weber Members, Assembly Rules Committee Mukhtar Ali, Bill Referral Consultant, Assembly Rules Committee

California School Boards Association | 3251 Beacon Boulevard, West Sacramento, CA 95691 | (800) 266-3382