

Assembly California Legislature Committee on Rules

KEN COOLEY CHAIR

Thursday, August 19, 2021 8:45 a.m.

VICE CHAIR CUNNINGHAM, JORDAN

MEMBERS

MEMBERS
BENNETT, STEVE
FLORA, HEATH
GIPSON, MIKE A.
LEE, ALEX
MAIENSCHEIN, BRIAN
MATHIS, DEVON J.
RAMOS, JAMES C.
RUBIO, BLANCA E.
VILLAPUDUA, CARLOS
WEBER, M.D., AKILAH

LEVINE, MARC (D-ALT) VALLADARES, SUZETTE MARTINEZ (R-ALT)

CONSENT AGENDA

State Capitol, Room 437

BILI	L REFERRALS		
1.	Bill Referrals		Page 2
2.	Bill Re-referral		Page 4
RES	<u>OLUTIONS</u>		
3.	ACR-10 (Seyarto)	California Firefighter Appreciation Month and California Firefighters Memorial Day.	Page 31
4.	ACR-29 (Voepel)	Opioid epidemic.	Page 35
5.	ACR-44 (Boerner Horvath)	Women's Small Business Month.	Page 39
6.	ACR-93 (Choi)	Childhood Cancer Awareness Month.	Page 42
7.	ACR-99 (Petrie-Norris)	PACE Month. (refer/hear)	Page 45
8.	ACR-100 (Carrillo)	Child Support Awareness Month. (refer/hear)	Page 50
9.	ACR-101 (Seyarto)	California Emergency Preparedness Month. (refer/hear)	Page 53
10.	ACR-102 (Kalra)	California's Sikh American Awareness and Appreciation Month. (refer/hear)	Page 56
11.	ACR-103 (Kalra)	California Hindu American Awareness and Appreciation Month. (refer/hear)	Page 63
12.	HR-50 (Seyarto)	California Impaired Driving Prevention Month.	Page 69
13.	HR-56 (Levine)	Public health.	Page 72
14.	HR-65 (Kiley)	Sarcoma awareness. (refer/hear)	Page 75
15.	HR-66 (Akilah Weber)	Women's Equality Day. (refer/hear)	Page 78
16.	SCR-34 (Archuleta)	Veterans' Home of California.	Page 83
17.	SCR-37 (Archuleta)	Latino Veterans Day.	Page 88
REQ	UEST TO ADD URGEN	NCY CLAUSE	
18.	SB 60 (Glazer) I infractions: maximum fir	Residential short-term rental ordinances: health or safety nes	Page 95
REQ	OUEST TO WAIVE JR 6	$\underline{1}(\mathbf{A})$	
19.	SB 330 (Durazo) Pilot Program	Los Angeles Community College District Affordable Housing	Page 10



STATE CAPITOL P.O. BOX 942849 SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0124 (916) 319-2800 FAX (916) 319-2810

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
DEBRA GRAVERT

Assembly California Legislature Committee on Rules KEN COOLEY CHAIR

VICE CHAIR
JORDAN CUNNINGHAM

MEMBERS

STEVE BENNETT
HEATH FLORA
MIKE A. GIPSON
ALEX LEE
BRIAN MAIENSCHEIN
DEVON J. MATHIS
JAMES C. RAMOS
BLANCA E. RUBIO
CARLOS VILLAPUDUA
AKILAH WEBER, M.D.

MARC LEVINE (D-ALT.) SUZETTE VALLADARES (R-ALT.)

Memo

To: Rules Committee Members

From: Michael Erke, Bill Referral Consultant

Date: 8/18/2021

Re: Consent Bill Referrals

Since you received your preliminary list of bill referrals, ACR 104 and ACR 105 have been added to the list of referrals.

REFERRAL OF BILLS TO COMMITTEE

08/19/2021

Pursuant to the Assembly Rules, the following bills were referred to committee:

Assembly Bill No. Committee:

 ACR 99
 RLS.

 ACR 100
 RLS.

 ACR 101
 RLS.

 ACR 102
 RLS.

 ACR 103
 RLS.

ACR 104 ACR 105 J., E.D., & E.

RLS. HR 62 HR 63 RLS. RLS. HR 64 RLS. <u>HR 65</u> HR 66 RLS. HR 67 RLS. HR 68 RLS. H. & C.D. **SB 330** RLS. **SCR** 18 RLS. SCR 51 H. & C.D. <u>SJR 6</u>

REFERRAL OF BILLS TO COMMITTEE

08/19/2021

Pursuant to the Assembly Rules, the following bills were re-referred to committee:

Senate Bill No.

SB 594

Committee:
ELECTIONS

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY AUGUST 16, 2021 AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 3, 2021 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 5, 2021 AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 4, 2021

SENATE BILL

No. 594

Introduced by Senator Glazer

February 18, 2021

An act to amend Sections 21500, 21509, 21601, 21609, 21621, 21629, 22000, and 22001 of, and to add Sections 22000.1 and 22002 to, the Elections Code, to amend Section 61026 of the Government Code, to amend Sections 6592 and 13847 of the Health and Safety Code, and to amend Section 5785.1 of the Public Resources Code, relating to elections, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately. An act to amend Sections 21500, 21601, and 21621 of, to add Section 22002 to, and to add and repeal Section 22000.1 of, and Chapter 1.5 (commencing with Section 8160) to Part 1 of Division 8 of, the Elections Code, relating to elections, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 594, as amended, Glazer. Elections: local redistricting. Elections: redistricting.

(1) (a) The California Constitution establishes the Citizens Redistricting Commission for the purpose of drawing district lines for the election of Members of the State Senate, Assembly, Congress, and the State Board of Equalization, and requires the commission to do so by August 15 in each year ending in the number one thereafter. For redistricting occurring in 2021, the Supreme Court of California, by

SB 594 -2-

peremptory writ of mandate in Legislature of State of California v. Padilla (2020) 9 Cal.5th 867, extended that deadline to December 15, 2021, or to a later date if specified conditions are met, due to a delay in the release of federal census data caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

This bill would, for the June 7, 2022, statewide direct primary election, make various changes, described below, to existing law relating to candidate nominations and compilation of registered voter data in order to accommodate the extended state redistricting deadline. The bill would define "state redistricting deadline" for these purposes to mean the extended deadline established by the Supreme Court of California described above, or that deadline as modified in any subsequent related proceeding. If a subsequent proceeding further modifies the deadline, the bill would require the Secretary of State, within 7 days, to prepare a calendar of key election dates and deadlines and requirements for the nomination of candidates. The bill would repeal these provisions on January 1, 2023. By increasing the duties of local elections officials, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

(b) Existing law provides that a person is not eligible to be elected to an elective office unless that person is a registered voter and otherwise qualified to vote for that office at the time that nomination papers are issued to the person.

This bill would provide that a person is not ineligible to be elected to the office of Member of the State Board of Equalization, State Senator, or Member of the Assembly on the ground that the person was not otherwise qualified to vote for the office if, at the time that nomination papers are issued to the person, the person is registered to vote and would be qualified to vote for the office if the person was a resident of, and registered to vote in, the election district from which the office is elected.

(c) Existing law generally requires nomination documents for elective office to be made available to candidates not more than 113 days before the election.

This bill would require those nomination documents to be first available on February 14, 2022, or the 46th day after the state redistricting deadline, whichever is later.

(d) Existing law authorizes a candidate for elective office to submit a petition containing a specified number of signatures in lieu of all or part of the fee for filing nomination papers. Existing law requires the Secretary of State to make forms for securing signatures available to -3- SB 594

each candidate commencing 60 days before the first day for circulating nomination papers, except as specified, and requires candidates to file in-lieu-filing-fee petitions at least 30 days before the close of the nomination period.

This bill would require the Secretary of State to make those forms available commencing 7 days after the state redistricting deadline, and require in-lieu-filing-fee petitions to be filed not later than February 9, 2022, or 41 days after the state redistricting deadline, whichever is later. The bill would require the elections official to proportionally reduce the required number of signatures for a petition by the same proportion as the reduction in the number of days for a candidate to collect signatures on a petition compared to the number of days specified in existing law for a candidate to collect signatures for a regular election for the same office.

(e) Existing law requires each county elections official to provide the Secretary of State with specified information regarding the number of voters and their party preferences in the county and each supervisorial, Congressional, Senate, Assembly, and Board of Equalization district in the county on the 135th day before each direct primary election, with respect to all voters who are registered voters on the 154th day before the primary election. Existing law requires the Secretary of State to compile a statewide list of this information within 30 days after receiving it from each county elections official.

This bill would require the Secretary of State to determine, by December 31, 2021, whether it is feasible to include in the statewide list described above the number of voters by party preference in each supervisorial, Congressional, Senate, Assembly, and Board of Equalization district with respect to all voters who are registered voters on the 154th day before the June 7, 2022, statewide direct primary election. If the Secretary of State determines it is not feasible, the bill would not require that information to be included in the information provided by the counties and the compiled statewide list. The bill would require the Secretary of State to prepare a supplemental statewide list showing that information on a date specified by the Secretary of State, but not later than the 88th day before the election.

(f) Existing law requires the Secretary of State, at least 158 days before the statewide direct primary election, to prepare and transmit to each county elections official a notice designating all of the offices, except those of county officers and judges, for which candidates are to be nominated.

SB 594 —4—

This bill would instead require that notice to be transmitted not later than the 6th day after the state redistricting deadline.

(2) Existing law requires, after each federal decennial census, the board of directors of certain special districts to adjust, by resolution, their division boundaries so that their divisions are equal in population and in compliance with specified requirements, and prohibits those districts from making a change in division boundaries within 180 days preceding the election of any director. Existing law also requires certain special districts that elect their board members from or by divisions to adjust their boundaries before November 1 of the year following the year in which each decennial census is taken.

For district conducting elections in 2022, this bill would, notwithstanding those provisions, require a governing board to adopt adjusted division boundaries no later than April 17, 2022, if the board has a regular election to elect members of its governing board on the same date as the 2022 statewide general election. If the board does not have a regular election on that date, the bill would require the board to adopt adjusted division boundaries prior to 180 days preceding the district's first regular election occurring after January 1, 2022. The bill would repeal these provisions on January 1, 2023. The bill would also clarify that the date of adoption of a resolution adjusting division boundaries is the date of passage of the resolution by the board.

(3) Existing law requires counties, general law cities, and charter cities that elect members of their legislative bodies using district-based elections to adopt boundaries for those supervisorial or council districts following each federal decennial census, as specified. Existing law expressly authorizes a city council to adopt district boundaries by resolution or ordinance.

This bill would clarify that "adopting" district boundaries for these purposes means the passage of an ordinance or resolution specifying those boundaries.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

5 SB 594

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Existing law requires counties, general law cities, and charter cities that elect members of their legislative bodies using district-based elections to adopt boundaries for those supervisorial or council districts following each federal decennial census, as specified. Existing law expressly authorizes a city council to adopt district boundaries by resolution or ordinance. If a legislative body does not adopt district boundaries by a specified deadline, existing law requires the legislative body, and authorizes a resident of the county or city, to petition the superior court for an order adopting boundaries. Existing law provides that the superior court's order is immediately effective in the same manner as an enacted ordinance or resolution of the legislative body.

This bill would clarify that "adopting" district boundaries for these purposes means the passage of an ordinance or resolution specifying those boundaries. The bill would expressly authorize a county board of supervisors to adopt supervisorial district boundaries by ordinance or resolution. The bill would also clarify that a superior court's order adopting district boundaries is immediately effective and has the same force and effect as an enacted ordinance or resolution of the legislative body.

Existing law requires, after each federal decennial census, the board of directors of certain special districts to adjust, by resolution, their division boundaries so that their divisions are equal in population and in compliance with specified requirements. Existing law also requires certain special districts that elect their board members from or by divisions to adjust their boundaries before November 1 of the year following the year in which each decennial census is taken.

This bill would, notwithstanding any other law, require the boards of directors of special districts described above to adjust division boundaries prior to 180 days before each district's next regular election occurring after January 1 in each year ending in the number 2. The bill would make conforming changes. The bill would elarify that the date of adoption of a resolution adjusting division boundaries is the date of passage of the resolution by the board.

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Vote: $\frac{2}{3}$. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no-yes. State-mandated local program: no-yes.

SB 594 —6—

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Chapter 1.5 (commencing with Section 8160) is added to Part 1 of Division 8 of the Elections Code, to read:

2

1

Chapter 1.5. June 7, 2022, Direct Primary

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

2526

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

8160. This chapter applies only to the June 7, 2022, statewide direct primary election.

8161. As used in this chapter:

- (a) "Commission" means the Citizens Redistricting Commission established in accordance with Article XXI of the California Constitution.
- (b) "State redistricting deadline" means the deadline established by subdivision (g) of Section 2 of Article XXI of the California Constitution, as modified by the California Supreme Court in Legislature of State of California v. Padilla (2020), 9 Cal.5th 867, and in any subsequent proceedings in or relating to that case, for the Commission to approve four final maps that separately set forth the district boundary lines for the congressional, State Senatorial, Assembly, and State Board of Equalization districts.
- 8162. (a) Notwithstanding Section 201 or any other law, a person who is a registered voter and otherwise qualified to vote for an office at the time that the person files nomination papers is not ineligible to be elected to that office on the grounds that the person was not otherwise qualified to vote for that office at the time that nomination papers are issued to the person if both of the following are true:
- (1) The office for which the person files nomination papers is one of the following:
 - (A) Member of the State Board of Equalization.
 - (B) State Senator.
 - (C) Member of the Assembly.
- (2) At the time nomination papers are issued the person is registered to vote and the person would be qualified to vote for the office if the person was a resident of, and registered to vote in, the election district from which the office is elected.
- (b) An elections official, upon request, shall issue nomination papers to a person for an office specified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (a), regardless of whether that person is a resident of

7 SB 594

the election district from which the office is elected, if the person satisfies the requirements of paragraph (2) of subdivision (a).

- 8163. (a) Notwithstanding subdivision (b) of Section 8106, and except as provided in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 21501 and paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 21622, forms for securing signatures on an in-lieu-filing-fee petition shall be made available commencing seven days after the state redistricting deadline.
- (b) Notwithstanding paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 8106, in-lieu-filing-fee petitions shall be filed not later than February 9, 2022, or 41 days after the state redistricting deadline, whichever is later. Within 10 days after receipt of a petition, the elections official shall notify the candidate of any deficiency. The candidate shall then, at the time of obtaining nomination forms, pay a pro rata portion of the filing fee to cover the deficiency.
- (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (a) of Section 8106, the elections official shall reduce the required number of signatures on an in-lieu-filing-fee petition by the same proportion as the reduction in the number of days for the candidate to collect signatures on such a petition compared to the number of days for a candidate to collect signatures on a petition for a regular election for the same office, as provided by subdivision (b) of Section 8106.
- 8164. Notwithstanding Sections 8020, 10220, 10407, 10510, and 10603, nomination documents shall first be available on February 14, 2022, or the 46th day after the state redistricting deadline, whichever is later.
- 8165. Not later than December 31, 2021, the Secretary of State shall determine whether it is feasible to include the number of voters, by party preferences, in each supervisorial district, Assembly district, Senate district, Board of Equalization district, and congressional district in the state in the statewide list compiled by the Secretary of State pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 2187 with respect to all voters who are registered voters on the 154th day before the June 7, 2022 primary election in accordance with paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 2187, and shall publicly announce that determination. If the Secretary of State determines that it is not feasible to include that information, all of the following apply:
- 39 (a) Notwithstanding paragraph (5) of subdivision (a) of Section 40 2187, the information provided by the county elections official

SB 594 —8—

1 pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 2187 is 2 not required to include the number of voters by political party

- 3 preferences in each of the following subdivisions, located in whole
- 4 or in part within the county:
- 5 (1) Supervisorial district.
- 6 (2) Congressional district.
- 7 (3) Senate district.

- 8 (4) Assembly district.
- 9 (5) Board of Equalization district.
 - (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (b) of Section 2187, the statewide list compiled by the Secretary of State pursuant to that subdivision with respect to all voters who are registered voters on the 154th day before the June 7, 2022 primary election shall not include the number of voters, by party preferences, in each supervisorial district, Assembly district, Senate district, and congressional district in the state.
 - (c) (1) The Secretary of State shall prepare a supplemental statewide list showing the number of voters, by party preference, in the state and in each county, city, supervisorial district, Assembly district, Senate district, and congressional district in the state with respect to all voters who are registered voters on a date specified by the Secretary of State. The date specified by the Secretary of State shall be as soon as is feasible after the state redistricting deadline, as determined by the Secretary of State, but not later than the 88th day before the June 7, 2022 primary election. The Secretary of State shall compile this list within 30 days of receiving the information specified in paragraph (2). A copy of this list shall be made available, upon request, to any elector in this state.
 - (2) For the purpose of preparing the supplemental statewide list required by paragraph (1), each county elections official shall prepare the information referenced in subdivision (a) of Section 2187 and provide notice to the Secretary of State by a date specified by the Secretary of State.
 - 8166. Notwithstanding Section 12103, the notice required by that section designating all the offices, except those of county officers and judges, for which candidates are to be nominated shall be prepared by the Secretary of State and transmitted to each county elections official not later than the sixth day after the state redistricting deadline.

-9- SB 594

8167. Not later than seven days after any change to or clarification of the state redistricting deadline that occurs as a result of subsequent proceedings before the California Supreme Court in or relating to Legislature of State of California v. Padilla (2020), 9 Cal.5th 867, the Secretary of State shall prepare a calendar of key election dates and deadlines and requirements for the nomination and election of candidates pursuant to California law, including this chapter.

8168. This chapter shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2023, and as of that date is repealed.

SEC. 2. Section 21500 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

- 21500. (a) Following a county's decision to elect its board using district-based elections, or following each federal decennial census for a county whose board is already elected using district-based elections, the board—shall shall, by ordinance or resolution, adopt boundaries for all of the supervisorial districts of the county so that the supervisorial districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.
- (1) Population equality shall be based on the total population of residents of the county as determined by the most recent federal decennial census for which the redistricting data described in Public Law 94-171 are available.
- (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), an incarcerated person, as that term is used in Section 21003, shall not be counted towards a county's population, except for an incarcerated person whose last known place of residence may be assigned to a census block in the county, if information about the last known place of residence for incarcerated persons is included in the computerized database for redistricting that is developed in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code, and that database is made publicly available.
- (b) The board shall adopt supervisorial district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).
- (c) The board shall adopt supervisorial district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:
- (1) To the extent practicable, supervisorial districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of

SB 594 — 10 —

4 5

adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.

- (2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A "community of interest" is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.
- (3) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of a city or census designated place shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division.
- (4) Supervisorial district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, supervisorial districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the county.
- (5) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, supervisorial districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.
- (d) The board shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.
- (e) For purposes of this chapter, "adopt" or "adoption" in regard to supervisorial district boundaries means the passage of an ordinance or resolution specifying those boundaries.
- SEC. 3. Section 21601 of the Elections Code is amended to read:
- 21601. (a) Following a city's decision to elect its council using district-based elections, or following each federal decennial census for a city whose council is already elected using district-based elections, the council shall, by ordinance or resolution, adopt boundaries for all of the council districts of the city so that the council districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.
- (1) Population equality shall be based on the total population of residents of the city as determined by the most recent federal

-11- SB 594

decennial census for which the redistricting data described in Public Law 94-171 are available.

- (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), an incarcerated person as that term is used in Section 21003, shall not be counted towards a city's population, except for an incarcerated person whose last known place of residence may be assigned to a census block in the city, if information about the last known place of residence for incarcerated persons is included in the computerized database for redistricting that is developed in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code, and that database is made publicly available.
- (b) The council shall adopt council district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).
- (c) The council shall adopt district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:
- (1) To the extent practicable, council districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.
- (2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A "community of interest" is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.
- (3) Council district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the city.
- (4) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, council districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

4 5

SB 594 — 12 —

4 5

(d) The council shall not adopt council district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

- (e) For purposes of this article, "adopt" or "adoption" in regard to council district boundaries means the passage of an ordinance or resolution specifying those boundaries.
- 6 SEC. 4. Section 21621 of the Elections Code is amended to 7 read:
 - 21621. (a) Following a city's decision to elect its council using district-based elections, or following each federal decennial census for a city whose council is already elected using district-based elections, the council shall, by ordinance or resolution, adopt boundaries for all of the council districts of the city so that the council districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.
 - (1) Population equality shall be based on the total population of residents of the city as determined by the most recent federal decennial census for which the redistricting data described in Public Law 94-171 are available.
 - (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), an incarcerated person, as that term is used in Section 21003, shall not be counted towards a city's population, except for an incarcerated person whose last known place of residence may be assigned to a census block in the city, if information about the last known place of residence for incarcerated persons is included in the computerized database for redistricting that is developed in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code, and that database is made publicly available.
 - (b) The council shall adopt council district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).
 - (c) The council shall adopt district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:
 - (1) To the extent practicable, council districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.
 - (2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be

-13- SB 594

respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A "community of interest" is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

- (3) Council district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the city.
- (4) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, council districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.
- (d) The council shall not adopt council district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.
- (e) Subdivision (c) does not apply to a charter city that has adopted comprehensive or exclusive redistricting criteria in its city charter. For purposes of this subdivision, "comprehensive or exclusive" means either that the city's charter excludes consideration of redistricting criteria other than those that are identified in the city charter or that the city's charter provides two or more traditional criteria for redistricting other than the requirement that districts be equal in population.
- (f) For purposes of this article, "adopt" or "adoption" in regard to council district boundaries means the passage of an ordinance or resolution specifying those boundaries.
- SEC. 5. Section 22000.1 is added to the Elections Code, to read:
- 22000.1. (a) Notwithstanding Section 22000, Section 61026 of the Government Code, Section 6592 or 13847 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5785.1 of the Public Resources Code, Section 28750.2 of the Public Utilities Code, or any other law, the board of directors of a district that is required to adjust division boundaries after each federal decennial census shall adopt the adjusted boundaries of the divisions not later than the deadlines provided in subdivision (b).
- (b) (1) The governing board of a district that has a regular election to elect members of its governing board on the same date

SB 594 —14—

4 5

as the 2022 statewide general election shall adopt adjusted division boundaries no later than April 17, 2022.

- (2) The governing board of a district that does not have a regular election to elect members of its governing board on the same date as the 2022 statewide general election shall adopt adjusted division boundaries prior to 180 days before the district's first regular election to elect members of the governing board occurring after January 1, 2022.
- (c) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2023, and as of that date is repealed.
- SEC. 6. Section 22002 is added to the Elections Code, to read: 22002. For purposes of this chapter, the date of adoption of a resolution adjusting division boundaries is the date of passage of the resolution by the board.
- SEC. 7. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.
- SEC. 8. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety within the meaning of Article IV of the California Constitution and shall go into immediate effect. The facts constituting the necessity are:

To ensure that delays in the adoption of district maps that occur due to the delayed release of data from the 2020 decennial federal census do not interfere with the effective and orderly conduct of the June 7, 2022, statewide direct primary election, and in order for the changes made by this act to aid cities, counties, and special districts undertaking the redistricting process in 2021, it is necessary that this act take effect immediately.

SECTION 1. Section 21500 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21500. (a) Following a county's decision to elect its board using district-based elections, or following each federal decennial census for a county whose board is already elected using district-based elections, the board shall, by ordinance or resolution, adopt boundaries for all of the supervisorial districts of the county so that the supervisorial districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.

-15- SB 594

(1) Population equality shall be based on the total population of residents of the county as determined by the most recent federal decennial census for which the redistricting data described in Public Law 94-171 are available.

- (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), an incarcerated person, as that term is used in Section 21003, shall not be counted towards a county's population, except for an incarcerated person whose last known place of residence may be assigned to a census block in the county, if information about the last known place of residence for incarcerated persons is included in the computerized database for redistricting that is developed in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code, and that database is made publicly available.
- (b) The board shall adopt supervisorial district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).
- (c) The board shall adopt supervisorial district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:
- (1) To the extent practicable, supervisorial districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.
- (2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A "community of interest" is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.
- (3) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of a city or census designated place shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division.
- (4) Supervisorial district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, supervisorial districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the county.

SB 594 —16—

1 (5) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with 2 the preceding criteria in this subdivision, supervisorial districts 3 shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner 4 that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more 5 distant populations.

- (d) The board shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.
- (e) For purposes of this chapter, "adopt" or "adoption" in regard to supervisorial district boundaries means the passage of an ordinance or resolution specifying those boundaries.
- SEC. 2. Section 21509 of the Elections Code is amended to read:
- 21509. (a) If the board does not adopt supervisorial district boundaries by the deadlines set forth in Section 21501, the board shall immediately petition the superior court of the county for an order adopting supervisorial district boundaries. If the board does not petition the superior court within five days after the deadline, any resident of the county may file that petition and shall be entitled to recover the resident's reasonable attorney's fees and costs from the county for doing so.
- (b) (1) Upon finding that a petition filed pursuant to subdivision (a) is valid, the superior court shall adopt supervisorial district boundaries in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section 21500, which shall be used in the county's next regular election. The superior court may also order the adjustment of electoral deadlines as necessary to implement the new supervisorial district boundaries in the next regular election.
- (2) The superior court may appoint a special master to assist the court with adopting the supervisorial district boundaries. The county shall pay the cost for the special master and associated costs.
- (3) The superior court or the special master shall hold one or more public hearings before the superior court adopts the supervisorial district boundaries.
- (4) Subject to the approval of the superior court, the special master may employ redistricting experts or other consultants or counsel, independent experts in the field of redistricting and computer technology, and other necessary personnel to assist them in their work. In addition, the special master may seek the full

-17- SB 594

cooperation of the county in producing and using whatever data, computer models and programs, and technical assistance that was made available to the board and county personnel who are knowledgeable in the mechanics of drafting redistricting legislation. The superior court may assist the special master in securing the necessary personnel and the physical facilities required for their work, and to prepare for the prompt submission to the county of a request for county funding for the necessary expenses of the special master and the special master's staff.

- (5) The supervisorial district boundaries adopted by the superior court shall be immediately effective and shall have the same force and effect as an enacted resolution or ordinance of the board.
- SEC. 3. Section 21601 of the Elections Code is amended to read:
- 21601. (a) Following a city's decision to elect its council using district-based elections, or following each federal decennial census for a city whose council is already elected using district-based elections, the council shall, by ordinance or resolution, adopt boundaries for all of the council districts of the city so that the council districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.
- (1) Population equality shall be based on the total population of residents of the city as determined by the most recent federal decennial census for which the redistricting data described in Public Law 94-171 are available.
- (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), an incarcerated person as that term is used in Section 21003, shall not be counted towards a city's population, except for an incarcerated person whose last known place of residence may be assigned to a census block in the city, if information about the last known place of residence for incarcerated persons is included in the computerized database for redistricting that is developed in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code, and that database is made publicly available.
- (b) The council shall adopt council district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).
- (e) The council shall adopt district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:

SB 594 —18—

 (1) To the extent practicable, council districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.

- (2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A "community of interest" is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.
- (3) Council district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the city.
- (4) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, council districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.
- (d) The council shall not adopt council district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.
- (e) For purposes of this article, "adopt" or "adoption" in regard to council district boundaries means the passage of an ordinance or resolution specifying those boundaries.
- SEC. 4. Section 21609 of the Elections Code is amended to read:
- 21609. (a) If the council does not adopt council district boundaries by the deadlines set forth in Section 21602, the council shall immediately petition the superior court in the county in which the city is located for an order adopting council district boundaries. If the council does not petition the superior court within five days after the deadline, any resident of the city may file that petition and shall be entitled to recover the resident's reasonable attorney's fees and costs from the city for doing so.
- (b) (1) Upon finding that a petition filed pursuant to subdivision (a) is valid, the superior court shall adopt council district boundaries in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section

-19 - SB 594

21601, which shall be used in the city's next regular election. The superior court may also order the adjustment of electoral deadlines as necessary to implement the new council district boundaries in the next regular election.

- (2) The superior court may appoint a special master to assist the court with adopting the council district boundaries. The city shall pay the cost for the special master and associated costs.
- (3) The superior court or the special master shall hold one or more public hearings before the superior court adopts the council district boundaries.
- (4) Subject to the approval of the superior court, the special master may employ redistricting experts or other consultants or counsel, independent experts in the field of redistricting and computer technology, and other necessary personnel to assist them in their work. In addition, the special master may seek the full cooperation of the city in producing and using whatever data, computer models and programs, and technical assistance that was made available to the council and city personnel who are knowledgeable in the mechanics of drafting redistricting legislation. The superior court may assist the special master in securing the necessary personnel and the physical facilities required for their work, and to prepare for the prompt submission to the city of a request for city funding for the necessary expenses of the special master and the special master's staff.
- (5) The council district boundaries adopted by the superior court shall be immediately effective and shall have the same force and effect as an enacted resolution or ordinance of the city council.
- SEC. 5. Section 21621 of the Elections Code is amended to read:
- 21621. (a) Following a city's decision to elect its council using district-based elections, or following each federal decennial census for a city whose council is already elected using district-based elections, the council shall, by ordinance or resolution, adopt boundaries for all of the council districts of the city so that the council districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.
- (1) Population equality shall be based on the total population of residents of the city as determined by the most recent federal decennial census for which the redistricting data described in Public Law 94-171 are available.

SB 594 -20-

4 5

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), an incarcerated person, as that term is used in Section 21003, shall not be counted towards a city's population, except for an incarcerated person whose last known place of residence may be assigned to a census block in the city, if information about the last known place of residence for incarcerated persons is included in the computerized database for redistricting that is developed in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code, and that database is made publicly available.

- (b) The council shall adopt council district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).
- (c) The council shall adopt district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:
- (1) To the extent practicable, council districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.
- (2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A "community of interest" is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.
- (3) Council district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the city.
- (4) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, council districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.
- (d) The council shall not adopt council district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

-21- SB 594

(e) Subdivision (c) does not apply to a charter city that has adopted comprehensive or exclusive redistricting criteria in its city charter. For purposes of this subdivision, "comprehensive or exclusive" means either that the city's charter excludes consideration of redistricting criteria other than those that are identified in the city charter or that the city's charter provides two or more traditional criteria for redistricting other than the requirement that districts be equal in population.

- (f) For purposes of this article, "adopt" or "adoption" in regard to council district boundaries means the passage of an ordinance or resolution specifying those boundaries.
- SEC. 6. Section 21629 of the Elections Code is amended to read:
- 21629. (a) If the council does not adopt council district boundaries by the deadlines set forth in Section 21622, the council shall immediately petition the superior court in the county in which the city is located for an order adopting council district boundaries. If the council does not petition the superior court within five days after the deadline, any resident of the city may file that petition and shall be entitled to recover the resident's reasonable attorney's fees and costs from the city for doing so.
- (b) (1) Upon finding that a petition filed pursuant to subdivision (a) is valid, the superior court shall adopt council district boundaries in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section 21621, which shall be used in the city's next regular election. The superior court may also order the adjustment of electoral deadlines as necessary to implement the new council district boundaries in the next regular election.
- (2) The superior court may appoint a special master to assist the court with adopting the council district boundaries. The city shall pay the cost for the special master and associated costs.
- (3) The superior court or the special master shall hold one or more public hearings before the superior court adopts the council district boundaries.
- (4) Subject to the approval of the superior court, the special master may employ redistricting experts or other consultants or eounsel, independent experts in the field of redistricting and computer technology, and other necessary personnel to assist them in their work. In addition, the special master may seek the full cooperation of the city in producing and using whatever data,

4 5

SB 594 -22-

computer models and programs, and technical assistance that was made available to the council and city personnel who are knowledgeable in the mechanics of drafting redistricting legislation. The superior court may assist the special master in securing the necessary personnel and the physical facilities required for their work, and to prepare for the prompt submission to the city of a request for city funding for the necessary expenses of the special master and the special master's staff.

- (5) The council district boundaries adopted by the superior court shall be immediately effective and shall have the same force and effect as an enacted resolution or ordinance of the city council.
- (c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted in its city charter a different method for adopting city council district boundaries when a redistricting deadline is missed.
- SEC. 7. Section 22000 of the Elections Code is amended to read:
- 22000. (a) Each district required by its authorizing act to adjust division boundaries pursuant to this section shall, by resolution, after each federal decennial census, and using that census as a basis, adjust the boundaries of any divisions so that the divisions are, as far as practicable, equal in population and in compliance with Section 10301 of Title 52 of the United States Code, as amended, to the extent those provisions apply. In adjusting the boundaries of the divisions, the board may give consideration to the following factors: (1) topography, (2) geography, (3) cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, and compactness of territory, and (4) community of interests of the division. This section does not apply to divisions in which only landowners vote for directors or whose directors are all elected at large or appointed.
- (b) The resolution specified in subdivision (a) shall be adopted by a vote of not less than a majority of the directors.
- (c) At the time of, or after, any annexation of territory to the district, the board of directors shall designate, by resolution, the division of which the annexed territory shall be a part.
- (d) A change in division boundaries shall not be adopted within 180 days preceding the election of any director.
- (e) (1) A change in division boundaries does not affect the term of office of any director.
- (2) If division boundaries are adjusted, the director of the division whose boundaries have been adjusted shall continue to

-23 - SB 594

be the director of the division bearing the number of that director's division as formerly comprised until the office becomes vacant by means of term expiration or otherwise, whether or not the director is a resident within the boundaries of the division as adjusted.

- (f) The successor to the office in a division whose boundaries have been adjusted shall be a resident and voter of that division.
- (g) A district is not required to adjust the boundaries of any divisions pursuant to this section until after the 2000 federal decennial census.
- (h) This section shall not be construed to prohibit or restrict a district from adjusting the boundaries of any divisions whenever the governing body of the district determines by a two-thirds vote of the governing body that a sufficient change in population has occurred that makes it desirable in the opinion of the governing body to adjust the boundaries of any divisions, or whenever any territory is added by or excluded from the district.
- SEC. 8. Section 22000.1 is added to the Elections Code, to read:
- 22000.1. Notwithstanding any other date specified in law, the board of directors of a district that is required to adjust division boundaries after each federal decennial census shall adopt the adjusted boundaries of the divisions prior to 180 days before the district's next regular election occurring after January 1 of each year ending in the number two.
- SEC. 9. Section 22001 of the Elections Code is amended to read:
- 22001. Before adjusting the boundaries of a division pursuant to Section 22000 or 22000.1 or for any other reason, the governing body of the district shall hold at least one public hearing on the proposal to adjust the boundaries of the division prior to the public hearing at which the governing body votes to approve or defeat the proposal.
- SEC. 10. Section 22002 is added to the Elections Code, to read: 22002. For purposes of this chapter, the date of adoption of a resolution adjusting division boundaries is the date of passage of the resolution by the board.
- 37 SEC. 11. Section 61026 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- 39 61026. In the case of a board of directors elected by divisions or from divisions, the board of directors shall adjust the boundaries

4 5

SB 594 -24-

1 of the divisions following each federal decennial census in 2 accordance with Section 22000.1 of the Elections Code. If at any 3 time between each decennial census, a change of organization or reorganization alters the population of the district, the board of 4 directors shall reexamine the boundaries of its divisions. If the 5 board of directors finds that the population of any division has 6 7 varied so that the divisions no longer meet the criteria specified 8 in subdivision (d) of Section 61025, the board of directors shall 9 adjust the boundaries of the divisions so that the divisions shall 10 be as nearly equal in population as possible. The board of directors shall make this change within 60 days of the effective date of the 11 12 change of organization or reorganization.

SEC. 12. Section 6592 of the Health and Safety Code is amended to read:

6592. In the case of a district board elected by election divisions, the district board shall adjust the boundaries of the election divisions following each federal decennial census in accordance with Section 22000.1 of the Elections Code. If at any time between each decennial federal census a change of organization alters the population of the district or the district increases or decreases the number of members of the district board. the district board shall reexamine the boundaries of its election divisions. If the district board finds that the population of any election division has varied so that the divisions no longer meet the criteria specified in subdivision (c) of Section 6591, the district board shall adjust the boundaries of the election divisions so that the divisions shall be as nearly equal in population as possible. The district board shall make this change within 60 days of the effective date of the change of organization or an increase or decrease in the number of members of the district board.

SEC. 13. Section 13847 of the Health and Safety Code is amended to read:

13847. In the case of a district board elected by election divisions, the district board shall adjust the boundaries of the election divisions following each federal decennial census in accordance with Section 22000.1 of the Elections Code. If at any time between each decennial federal census a change of organization alters the population of the district or the district increases or decreases the number of members of the district board, the district board shall reexamine the boundaries of its election

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

-25- SB 594

divisions. If the district board finds that the population of any election division has varied so that the divisions no longer meet the criteria specified in subdivision (d) of Section 13846, the district board shall adjust the boundaries of the election divisions so that the divisions shall be as nearly equal in population as possible. The district board shall make this change within 60 days of the effective date of the change of organization or an increase or decrease in the number of members of the district board.

SEC. 14. Section 5785.1 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:

5785.1. (a) In the case of a board of directors elected by divisions or from divisions, the board of directors shall adjust the boundaries of the divisions following each federal decennial census in accordance with Section 22000.1 of the Elections Code. If, at any time between each decennial census, a change of organization or reorganization alters the population of the district, the board of directors shall reexamine the boundaries of its divisions. If the board of directors finds that the population of any division has varied so that the divisions no longer meet the criteria specified in subdivision (f) of Section 5785, the board of directors shall adjust the boundaries of the divisions so that the divisions shall be as nearly equal in population as possible. The board of directors shall make this change within 60 days of the effective date of the change of organization or reorganization.

(b) In the case of a board of directors that has been appointed by more than one county board of supervisors or city council, the board of directors shall adjust the proportionate distribution of the appointments before November 1 of the year following the year in which each decennial census is taken. If at any time between each decennial census, a change of organization or reorganization alters the population of the district, the board of directors shall reexamine the proportionate distribution of appointments. If the board of directors finds that the population of the district has varied so that the distribution of appointments is no longer proportionate. the board of directors shall adjust the proportionate distribution of appointments accordingly. The board of directors shall make this change within 60 days of the effective date of the change of organization or reorganization. The county board of supervisors or city council shall appoint members to the board of directors as vacancies occur.

4 5

SB 594 — 26 —

SEC. 15. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety within the meaning of Article IV of the California Constitution and shall go into immediate effect. The facts constituting the necessity are:

In order for the changes made by this act to aid cities, counties, and special districts undertaking the redistricting process in 2021, it is necessary that this act take effect immediately.

O

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY AUGUST 16, 2021

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2021-22 REGULAR SESSION

Assembly Concurrent Resolution

No. 10

Introduced by Assembly Member Seyarto

January 15, 2021

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 10—Relative to California Firefighter Appreciation Month and California Firefighters Memorial Day.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 10, as amended, Seyarto. California Firefighter Appreciation Month and California Firefighters Memorial Day.

This measure would proclaim the month of September 2021 as California Firefighter Appreciation Month and September_____, September 25, 2021, as California Firefighters Memorial Day.

Fiscal committee: no.

- 1 WHEREAS, The California Firefighters Memorial, located on
- 2 the grounds of the State Capitol, serves to honor and commemorate
- 3 California firefighters who unwaveringly serve our great state with
- 4 pride, courage, and honor; and
- 5 WHEREAS, The California Firefighters Memorial is also a
- 6 symbol of gratitude and appreciation to the men and women of
- 7 the fire service who put their lives on the line each day to protect
- 8 the people, property, and beauty of California; and
- 9 WHEREAS, Each of the over 1,400 names engraved on the
- 10 brushed limestone walls of the California Firefighters Memorial
- 11 serves as a timeless tribute to the finest and bravest of our state
- who have made the ultimate sacrifice as firefighters; and

 $ACR 10 \qquad \qquad -2 -$

1

2

4 5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

2627

28 29

30

31

32

WHEREAS, The California Firefighters Memorial is the only state monument capturing the names in perpetuity of those courageous firefighters in California who have put service to our great State of California above all else; and

WHEREAS, Many of the fallen firefighters whose names adorn the California Firefighters Memorial died either under tragic, traumatic circumstances or suffered and ultimately succumbed to job-caused diseases, such as occupational cancer, as a result of the hazardous nature of their work, including routine exposure to toxins; and

WHEREAS, This year firefighters are facing the life threatening life-threatening COVID-19 pandemic where they have again demonstrated their commitment to service above self in the face of increased health and safety risks for themselves and their families; and

WHEREAS, On September——, 25, 2021, hundreds of firefighters and their families, along with their fellow Californians, will honor the fallen in a moment of tribute for the 19th Annual California Firefighters Memorial Ceremony honoring the men and women of the fire service who have selflessly given their lives to protect California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature hereby proclaims the month of September 2021 as California Firefighter Appreciation Month and September——, 25, 2021, as California Firefighters Memorial Day; and be it further

Resolved, That Californians are urged to always remember the firefighters who have given their lives in the line of duty and express their appreciation to those firefighters who continue to protect our families; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

Date of Hearing: August 19, 2021

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair ACR 10 (Seyarto) – As Amended August 16, 2021

ACR 10 (Seyarto) – As Amended August 16, 2021

SUBJECT: California Firefighter Appreciation Month and California Firefighters Memorial Day.

SUMMARY: Proclaims the month of September 2021 as California Firefighter Appreciation Month and September 25, 2021, as California Firefighters Memorial Day. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) The California Firefighters Memorial, located on the grounds of the State Capitol, serves to honor and commemorate California firefighters who unwaveringly serve our great state with pride, courage, and honor.
- 2) The California Firefighters Memorial is also a symbol of gratitude and appreciation to the men and women of the fire service who put their lives on the line each day to protect the people, property, and beauty of California.
- 3) Each of the over 1,400 names engraved on the brushed limestone walls of the California Firefighters Memorial serves as a timeless tribute to the finest and bravest of our state who have made the ultimate sacrifice as firefighters.
- 4) The California Firefighters Memorial is the only state monument capturing the names in perpetuity of those courageous firefighters in California who have put service to our great State of California above all else.
- 5) Many of the fallen firefighters whose names adorn the California Firefighters Memorial died either under tragic, traumatic circumstances or suffered and ultimately succumbed to jobcaused diseases, such as occupational cancer, as a result of the hazardous nature of their work, including routine exposure to toxins.
- 6) This year firefighters are facing the life-threatening COVID-19 pandemic where they have again demonstrated their commitment to service above self in the face of increased health and safety risks for themselves and their families.
- 7) On September 25, 2021, hundreds of firefighters and their families, along with their fellow Californians, will honor the fallen in a moment of tribute for the 19th Annual California Firefighters Memorial Ceremony honoring the men and women of the fire service who have selflessly given their lives to protect California.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Introduced by Assembly Member Voepel

February 11, 2021

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 29—Relative to opioid epidemic.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 29, as introduced, Voepel. Opioid epidemic.

This measure would designate the month of September 2021 as Opioid Awareness Month in California.

Fiscal committee: no.

- 1 WHEREAS, The opioid overdose epidemic continues to claim
- 2 lives across the country with a record of 47,600 overdose deaths
- 3 in 2017. This number represents 67.8 percent of the 70,237
 - overdose deaths from all drugs; and
- 5 WHEREAS, Eight-thousand-year-old hardened Sumerian clay
- 6 tablets are the earliest prescriptions of opium with Ancient Greeks,
- 7 Indians, Chinese, Egyptians, Romans, Arabs, people in middle
- 8 ages, and Europeans from renaissance to now all prescribing
 - opioids; and

9

- 10 WHEREAS, Wounded soldiers from the American Civil War,
- 11 the British Crimean War, and the Franco-Prussian War, were
- 12 allowed to abuse the drug. By the 1830s, one-third of all lethal
- poisoning was due to opium-marking, the first recognition of a social evil. Isolation of Morphine from opium by Serterner in
- 15 Germany in 1805, hypodermic syringe by Pranez in the 1850s,

ACR 29 — 2 —

4 5

synthesis of morphine by Tschudi in 1952, and manufacturing of synthetic derivatives called opioids and heroin eased the use; and WHEREAS, Recognition of subjective pain as the fifth vital sign, with pressure on providers to prescribe scheduled medicines, added additional strokes to this menace of prehistoric dimensions, the anicid pridate which should 12 present of high

dimensions—the opioid epidemic, which shreds 13 percent of high school seniors every year; and

WHEREAS, More Americans die every year now from drug overdose than in motor vehicle crashes. This crisis is taking an especially devastating toll on certain parts of the United States workforce. High rates of opioid overdose deaths have occurred in industries with high injury rates and physically demanding working conditions such as construction, mining, or fishing; and

WHEREAS, In April 2018, at the National Rx Drug Abuse and Heroin Summit, National Institute of Health Director Francis S. Collins, M.D., Ph.D., announced the launch of the HEAL (Helping to End Addiction Long-term) Initiative, an aggressive, trans-agency effort to speed scientific solutions to stem the national opioid public health crisis; and

WHEREAS, Opioid treatment programs are housed in facilities that provide substance abuse treatment, which includes medication-assisted treatment for opioid use disorder. Medication-assisted treatment patients treated in opioid treatment programs must receive counseling, which can include different forms of behavioral therapy; and

WHEREAS, Millions of Americans are misusing opioids to relieve physical pain and Admiral Brett P. Giroir, M.D., Assistant Secretary for Health at the United States Department of Health and Human Services stated that "we cannot solve the nation's opioid crisis until we solve the nation's pain crisis"; and

WHEREAS, Fifty million Americans suffer daily chronic pain, 20,000,000 of whom suffer from high-impact chronic pain that may lead to opioid misuse if they do not have access to appropriate pain management; and

WHEREAS, The United States Department of Health and Human Services has made improving pain management a key pillar of its Five-Point Strategy to Combat the Opioid Crisis and its Pain Management Best Practices Inter-Agency Task Force Report (Task Force report) issued in May 2019 addressing "acute and chronic pain in light of the ongoing opioid crisis." There is a

-3- ACR 29

critical need for Californians to have access to the full range of pain management options listed in the Task Force report, especially those options with high-quality evidence, including neuromodulation, as an alternative to opioids; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature hereby designates the month of September 2021 as Opioid Awareness Month in California; and be it further

10 Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies11 of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair ACR 29 (Voepel) – As Introduced February 11, 2021

SUBJECT: Opioid epidemic.

SUMMARY: Designates the month of September 2021 as Opioid Awareness Month in California. Specifically, this resolution makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) The opioid overdose epidemic continues to claim lives across the country with a record of 47,600 overdose deaths in 2017. This number represents 67.8 percent of the 70,237 overdose deaths from all drugs.
- 2) More Americans die every year now from drug overdose than in motor vehicle crashes. This crisis is taking an especially devastating toll on certain parts of the United States workforce. High rates of opioid overdose deaths have occurred in industries with high injury rates and physically demanding working conditions such as construction, mining, or fishing.
- 3) In April 2018, at the National Rx Drug Abuse and Heroin Summit, the National Institute of Health announced the launch of the HEAL (Helping to End Addiction Long-term) Initiative, an aggressive, trans-agency effort to speed scientific solutions to stem the national opioid public health crisis.
- 4) Opioid treatment programs are housed in facilities that provide substance abuse treatment, which includes medication-assisted treatment for opioid use disorder. Medication-assisted treatment patients treated in opioid treatment programs must receive counseling, which can include different forms of behavioral therapy.
- 5) The United States Department of Health and Human Services has made improving pain management a key pillar of its Five-Point Strategy to Combat the Opioid Crisis and its Pain Management Best Practices Inter-Agency Task Force Report issued in May 2019 addressing "acute and chronic pain in light of the ongoing opioid crisis." There is a critical need for Californians to have access to the full range of pain management options listed in the Task Force report, especially those options with high-quality evidence, including neuromodulation, as an alternative to opioids.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800 Page 38 of 113

Back to Agenda

Introduced by Assembly Member Boerner Horvath

March 10, 2021

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 44—Relative to Women's Small Business Month.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 44, as introduced, Boerner Horvath. Women's Small Business Month.

This measure would designate the month of October 2021 as Women's Small Business Month and encourage all citizens to recognize the economic importance of women's small businesses in California.

Fiscal committee: no.

- 1 WHEREAS, There are, as of 2019, an estimated 13 million
- 2 women-owned businesses in the United States, which employ
- 3 nearly 9.4 million people and generate \$1.9 trillion in revenue,
- and this number is up 21% from 2014, a growth rate that is more
- 5 than double that of all businesses; and
- WHEREAS, The number of firms is larger than the number of employees because some businesses are one-woman ventures and some women own multiple firms; and
- 9 WHEREAS, Between 2014 and 2019, growth in the number of
- 10 women who became part-time entrepreneurs was nearly double
- 11 overall growth in women who became entrepreneurs: 39%
- 12 compared to 21% respectively; and

ACR 44 -2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

WHEREAS, For the year 2019, women of color represented 39% of total female population in the United States, but accounted for 89% of the net new women-owned businesses per day.

WHEREAS, Women-owned businesses represent 42% of all businesses; and

WHEREAS, There are still too few female investors and startup entrepreneurs, making it more challenging to raise much-needed capital; and

WHEREAS, Although more women are embracing entrepreneurship, they often face challenges not typically shared by their male counterparts, including defying social expectations, limited access to funding, owning a sense of accomplishment, building a support network and mentors, and balancing business and family life; and

WHEREAS, While 79% of women entrepreneurs in the United States feel more empowered now than they did five years ago, 66% still report difficulty in obtaining the funding they need to succeed; and

WHEREAS, Despite demanding and long hours, women become business owners to implement a new business idea or vision, enjoy the freedom of being an entrepreneur, or to solve a specific industry problem; and

WHEREAS, Women-owned businesses are key to our overall economic success, and their importance is rapidly growing; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature designates the month of October 2021 to be Women's Small Business Month and encourages all citizens to recognize the economic importance of women's small businesses in California; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES

Ken Cooley, Chair ACR 44 (Boerner Horvath) – As Introduced March 10, 2021

SUBJECT: Women's Small Business Month.

SUMMARY: Designates the month of October 2021 to be Women's Small Business Month and encourages all citizens to recognize the economic importance of women's small businesses in California. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) There are, as of 2019, an estimated 13 million women-owned businesses in the United States, which employ nearly 9.4 million people and generate \$1.9 trillion in revenue. This number is up 21% from 2014, a growth rate that is more than double that of all businesses.
- 2) The number of firms is larger than the number of employees because some businesses are one-woman ventures and some women own multiple firms. Women-owned businesses represent 42% of all businesses.
- 3) Between 2014 and 2019, growth in the number of women who became part-time entrepreneurs was nearly double overall growth in women who became entrepreneurs: 39% compared to 21% respectively.
- 4) Although more women are embracing entrepreneurship, they often face challenges not typically shared by their male counterparts, including defying social expectations, limited access to funding, owning a sense of accomplishment, building a support network and mentors, and balancing business and family life.
- 5) Despite demanding and long hours, women become business owners to implement a new business idea or vision, enjoy the freedom of being an entrepreneur, or to solve a specific industry problem.
- 6) Women-owned businesses are key to our overall economic success, and their importance is rapidly growing.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Introduced by Assembly Member Choi
(Coauthors: Assembly Members Chen, Fong, Cristina Garcia,
Lackey, Mathis, Nazarian, Nguyen, Seyarto, and Voepel)
(Coauthors: Senators Jones, Melendez, Nielsen, Ochoa Bogh, and
Portantino)

June 22, 2021

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 93—Relative to Childhood Cancer Awareness Month.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 93, as introduced, Choi. Childhood Cancer Awareness Month. This measure would proclaim the month of September 2021 as Childhood Cancer Awareness Month in California and state the commitment of the Legislature to support efforts to find cures for, and achieve prevention of, childhood cancer.

Fiscal committee: no.

- WHEREAS, Cancer is by far the leading cause of death by disease among children in this country; and
- 3 WHEREAS, Many children in California are afflicted with
- 4 cancer, experiencing enormous pain and suffering, and they and
- 5 their families require extraordinary levels of information and
- 6 support in their struggles against this disease; and
- WHEREAS, Successful prevention and treatment of many types
- 8 of childhood cancer has not yet been achieved; and

 $ACR 93 \qquad \qquad -2-$

WHEREAS, The prevention and treatment of cancer requires a high level of commitment in order to provide the necessary resources and research; and

- WHEREAS, California has many of the world's finest medical, academic, and commercial institutions, and must continue as a leader in the fight against this terrible disease; and
- WHEREAS, Increased public awareness of this major public health problem is a crucial step toward finding solutions; and
- 9 WHEREAS, Childhood Cancer Awareness Month is an 10 important nationwide tool for raising awareness among 11 governmental officials and the public about the nature and scope 12 of this problem; now, therefore, be it
- Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature hereby recognizes and declares September 2021 as Childhood Cancer Awareness Month in California; and be it further
- 17 Resolved, That the Legislature is committed to supporting efforts
 18 to find cures for, and achieve prevention of, childhood cancer; and
 19 be it further
- 20 *Resolved*, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair ACR 93 (Choi) – As Introduced June 22, 2021

SUBJECT: Childhood Cancer Awareness Month.

SUMMARY: Proclaims the month of September 2021 as Childhood Cancer Awareness Month in California. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) Cancer is by far the leading cause of death by disease among children in this country.
- 2) Many children in California are afflicted with cancer, experiencing enormous pain and suffering, and they and their families require extraordinary levels of information and support in their struggles against this disease.
- 3) Successful prevention and treatment of many types of childhood cancer has not yet been achieved.
- 4) The prevention and treatment of cancer requires a high level of commitment in order to provide the necessary resources and research.
- 5) California has many of the world's finest medical, academic, and commercial institutions, and must continue as a leader in the fight against this terrible disease.
- 6) Increased public awareness of this major public health problem is a crucial step toward finding solutions.
- 7) Childhood Cancer Awareness Month is an important nationwide tool for raising awareness among governmental officials and the public about the nature and scope of this problem.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Introduced by Assembly Member Petrie-Norris

August 16, 2021

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 99—Relative to the Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 99, as introduced, Petrie-Norris. PACE Month.

This measure would recognize September 2021 as PACE Month in California.

Fiscal committee: no.

- WHEREAS, The Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly
- 2 (PACE) serves frail seniors and disabled adults 55 years of age
- and older, a population that is growing rapidly and whose impact on health care costs is significant; and
- WHEREAS, PACE is a fully integrated model of care that provides comprehensive, individualized care to medically frail
- 7 seniors from a team of doctors, nurses, therapists, and aides allowing seniors enrolled in PACE to age with dignity at home;
- 9 and
- WHEREAS, On Lok, which is celebrating its 50th anniversary
- 11 of serving seniors in 2021, created the PACE model of care during
- 12 the 1980s in response to community concern for the frail, older
- 13 adults of San Francisco's Chinatown, North Beach, and Polk Gulch
- 14 neighborhoods; and
- WHEREAS, There are 22 PACE programs operating in 65 sites
- 16 across California today, serving nearly 13,000 participants; and

 $ACR 99 \qquad \qquad -2-$

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

WHEREAS, All PACE participants are nursing home eligible, have an average of 20 medical conditions, and 33 percent have Alzheimer's disease or dementia, and

WHEREAS, PACE costs up to 42 percent less than the cost of institutional care, saving California taxpayers one hundred and thirty-one million dollars (\$131,000,000) in care costs this year; and

WHEREAS, PACE is a cost-effective way to care for those with high care needs without uprooting them from their families and communities; and

WHEREAS, Individuals enrolled in PACE receive all Medicare and Medi-Cal covered items and services and additional support, which promotes well-being and greater independence; and

WHEREAS, PACE promotes equity in health and aging for California's senior population since 81 percent of PACE participants come from communities of color and the vast majority are eligible for Medi-Cal; and

WHEREAS, PACE assumes full financial risk for all care and medical services, including transportation, meals, day center services, therapies, and long-term care, in return for capitated payments from Medicare and Medi-Cal; and

WHEREAS, PACE adapted during the COVID-19 pandemic by providing home-based care and using telehealth technologies, which kept infection rates nearly 80 percent lower than in nursing homes; and

WHEREAS, A poll conducted by The SCAN Foundation found nearly 90 percent of Californians say it is important to have access to services needed to live at home and in the community as they age to optimize health and quality of life; and

WHEREAS, California's Master Plan for Aging found that the state's senior population is growing and diversifying faster than any other age group, with one in four Californians being 60 years of age or older by 2030; and

WHEREAS, Expanding access to PACE is among critical actions needed for California to meet the care challenges that come with this demographic change; and

WHEREAS, The California PACE Association, known as CalPACE, formed in 2003 to support the development, expansion, success, and quality of PACE throughout California; now,

40 therefore, be it

-3- ACR 99

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature hereby recognizes September 2021 as PACE Month in California; and be it further Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

 \mathbf{O}

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES

Ken Cooley, Chair ACR 99 (Petrie-Norris) – As Introduced August 16, 2021

SUBJECT: PACE Month.

SUMMARY: Recognizes September 2021 as PACE Month in California. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) The Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) serves frail seniors and disabled adults 55 years of age and older, a population that is growing rapidly and whose impact on health care costs is significant.
- 2) PACE is a fully integrated model of care that provides comprehensive, individualized care to medically frail seniors from a team of doctors, nurses, therapists, and aides allowing seniors enrolled in PACE to age with dignity at home.
- 3) There are 22 PACE programs operating in 65 sites across California today, serving nearly 13,000 participants. All PACE participants are nursing home eligible, have an average of 20 medical conditions, and 33 percent have Alzheimer's disease or dementia.
- 4) PACE is a cost-effective way to care for those with high care needs without uprooting them from their families and communities. Individuals enrolled in PACE receive all Medicare and Medi-Cal covered items and services and additional support, which promotes well-being and greater independence.
- 5) PACE promotes equity in health and aging for California's senior population since 81 percent of PACE participants come from communities of color and the vast majority are eligible for Medi-Cal.
- 6) PACE adapted during the COVID-19 pandemic by providing home-based care and using telehealth technologies, which kept infection rates nearly 80 percent lower than in nursing homes.
- 7) California's Master Plan for Aging found that the state's senior population is growing and diversifying faster than any other age group, with one in four Californians being 60 years of age or older by 2030.
- 8) Expanding access to PACE is among critical actions needed for California to meet the care challenges that come with this demographic change.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Introduced by Assembly Member Carrillo

August 16, 2021

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 100—Relative to Child Support Awareness Month.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 100, as introduced, Carrillo. Child Support Awareness Month. This measure would recognize August 2021 as Child Support Awareness Month.

Fiscal committee: no.

- WHEREAS, Children become healthy, productive, and well-adjusted adults through the support and nurturing of their families; and
- WHEREAS, California's children have a right to receive emotional and financial support from both of their parents; and
- WHEREAS, California is strongly committed to promoting the health and well-being of all of California's children by establishing paternity, ensuring parents pay child support on a regular and timely basis, and encouraging all parents to have a loving and supportive relationship with their children; and
- WHEREAS, California's child support program provides an important opportunity for parents to be a positive force in the lives
- of their children. In 2020, California had a caseload of 1,100,000
- 14 child support cases and served over 2,000,000 children; and

ACR 100 — 2 —

7 8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

WHEREAS, California is a national leader in the amount of child support collected from parents, distributing more than \$2,500,000,000 in child support payments in 2020; and

WHEREAS, Child Support Awareness Month celebrates California's nationally recognized record of providing services for parents and their children; and

WHEREAS, Increasing public awareness of the importance of this issue through education and outreach will reinforce the need for all parents to take responsibility for the financial, emotional, and physical support of their children; and

WHEREAS, Awareness of the needs of children and the responsibilities of parents to provide for the support of their children benefits all Californians; and

WHEREAS, As Californians, we must rededicate ourselves to the task of putting our youngest and most vulnerable citizens first; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature hereby proclaims the month of August 2021 to be Child Support Awareness Month, and encourages all Californians to participate in the support and well-being of children; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair

ACR 100 (Carrillo) - As Introduced August 16, 2021

SUBJECT: Child Support Awareness Month.

SUMMARY: Recognizess the month of August 2021 to be Child Support Awareness Month, and encourages all Californians to participate in the support and well-being of children. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) Children become healthy, productive, and well-adjusted adults through the support and nurturing of their families. California's children have a right to receive emotional and financial support from both of their parents.
- 2) California is strongly committed to promoting the health and well-being of all of California's children by establishing paternity, ensuring parents pay child support on a regular and timely basis, and encouraging all parents to have a loving and supportive relationship with their children.
- 3) California's child support program provides an important opportunity for parents to be a positive force in the lives of their children. In 2020, California had a caseload of 1,100,000 child support cases and served over 2,000,000 children.
- 4) California is a national leader in the amount of child support collected from parents, distributing more than \$2,500,000,000 in child support payments in 2020.
- 5) Awareness of the needs of children and the responsibilities of parents to provide for the support of their children benefits all Californians.
- 6) Increasing public awareness of the importance of this issue through education and outreach will reinforce the need for all parents to take responsibility for the financial, emotional, and physical support of their children.
- 7) Child Support Awareness Month celebrates California's nationally recognized record of providing services for parents and their children.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Back to Agenda Page 52 of 113

Introduced by Assembly Members Seyarto and Rodriguez

August 16, 2021

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 101—Relative to California Emergency Preparedness Month.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 101, as introduced, Seyarto. California Emergency Preparedness Month.

This measure would declare the month of September 2021 as California Emergency Preparedness Month.

Fiscal committee: no.

- 1 WHEREAS, Launched in 2004, National Preparedness Month
- 2 is the Federal Emergency Management Agency's national annual
- 3 preparedness outreach; and
- 4 WHEREAS, National Preparedness Month aims to educate and
- 5 empower Americans during the month and throughout the year to
- 6 prepare for and respond to all types of emergencies, including
- 7 natural disasters and manmade emergencies; and
- 8 WHEREAS, California has experienced an uptick over the last
- 9 decade in emergency situations that require individuals to prepare
- 10 in advance; and
- WHEREAS, These disasters include rolling blackouts, wildfires,
- 12 mudslides, and others that can leave Californians without power
- 13 or foods for days; and

ACR 101 — 2 —

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

WHEREAS, In the last year, California has suffered 23 declared emergency situations, comprising 9,917 wildfires, dozens of blackouts, hundreds of earthquakes; and

WHEREAS, In response to emergencies and disasters, hundreds of thousands of people have been subject to mandatory evacuations; and

WHEREAS, It is more critical than ever before that Californians have a plan prior to experiencing these events; and

WHEREAS, These plans should include access to adequate shelter, reserves of food and water, backup power for communication devices, plans for pets, and access to transportation; and

WHEREAS, September is celebrated as National Preparedness
 Month; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature hereby proclaims the month of September 2021 as California Emergency Preparedness Month; and be it further

Resolved, That the Legislature encourages Californians to acknowledge the importance of planning for emergencies to protect themselves and their families; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copiesof this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair

ACR 101 (Seyarto) - As Introduced August 16, 2021

SUBJECT: California Emergency Preparedness Month.

SUMMARY: Declares the month of September 2021 as California Emergency Preparedness Month. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) Launched in 2004, National Preparedness Month is the Federal Emergency Management Agency's national annual preparedness outreach.
- 2) National Preparedness Month aims to educate and empower Americans during the month and throughout the year to prepare for and respond to all types of emergencies, including natural disasters and manmade emergencies.
- 3) California has experienced an uptick over the last decade in emergency situations that require individuals to prepare in advance. These disasters include rolling blackouts, wildfires, mudslides, and others that can leave Californians without power or food for days.
- 4) In the last year, California has suffered 23 declared emergency situations, comprising 9,917 wildfires, dozens of blackouts, and hundreds of earthquakes. In response to emergencies and disasters, hundreds of thousands of people have been subject to mandatory evacuations.
- 5) It is critical that Californians have a plan prior to experiencing these events. These plans should include access to adequate shelter, reserves of food and water, backup power for communication devices, plans for pets, and access to transportation.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Introduced by Assembly Member Kalra (Coauthor: Assembly Member Cooper)

(Coauthors: Senators Nielsen, Pan, and Wieckowski)

August 16, 2021

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 102—Relative to California's Sikh American Awareness and Appreciation Month.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 102, as introduced, Kalra. California's Sikh American Awareness and Appreciation Month.

This measure would designate the month of November 2021 to be California's Sikh American Awareness and Appreciation Month. The measure would recognize and acknowledge the significant contributions made by Californians of Sikh heritage to our state and seeks to afford all Californians the opportunity to better understand, recognize, and appreciate the rich history and shared principles of Sikh Americans. The measure would condemn all hate crimes and bias incidents against Sikh Americans and encourage all Sikhs to practice their faith freely and fearlessly.

Fiscal committee: no.

- 1 WHEREAS, California and our nation are at once blessed and
- 2 enriched by the unparalleled diversity of our residents; and
- 3 WHEREAS, Sikhs, who originated in Punjab, India, first entered
- 4 California in 1899 through the Angel Island Immigration Station
- 5 in San Francisco, California; and

 $ACR 102 \qquad \qquad -2 -$

WHEREAS, The Sikh pioneers initially worked on railroad construction projects and in lumber mills; and

WHEREAS, By 1910, these pioneers turned to farming in the Sacramento, San Joaquin, and Imperial Valleys; and

WHEREAS, On October 14, 1912, the first Sikh house of worship (gurdwara) in the United States, the Stockton Sikh Temple, was founded by Jawala Singh and Wasakha Singh. The following year, the congregation formed the Pacific Coast Khalsa Diwan Society; and

WHEREAS, There are now more than 220 known gurdwaras in the United States, 58 of which are in California, including the Gurdwara Sahib of San Jose, the largest gurdwara in North America; and

WHEREAS, The Stockton Record, dated November 22, 1915, quoted the gurdwara's elected leadership declaring, "We do not permit our people to become charges on public charity. If a man is hungry and out of funds we feed him. Our dining room is open at all hours of the day and is closed only for a few hours during the night"; and

WHEREAS, Legislation to authorize Sikhs and other East Indian immigrants to naturalize as United States citizens was not enacted until 1946; and

WHEREAS, On January 1, 1912, Jawala Singh and Wasakha Singh, who migrated to California through Angel Island in 1908 and served as the founding Granthis (religious leader) of the Stockton Sikh Temple, recognized the value of education and started six Guru Govind Singh Sahib Educational Scholarships at the University of California, Berkeley; and

WHEREAS, These scholarships were awarded without regard to ethnicity or religion and the first awardees included three Hindus, one Christian, one Sikh, and one Muslim; and

WHEREAS, Board and lodging was provided at the students' home at 1731 Allston Way, Berkeley, where smoking and drinking were prohibited; and

WHEREAS, On November 1, 1913, The Ghadar, the first Punjabi-language newspaper in the United States, was published by Kartar Singh Sarabha, who was then 17 years of age, with financial support from the Stockton Sikh Temple; and

WHEREAS, On December 31, 1913, Jawala Singh and Wasakha Singh organized the Ghadri Conclave in Sacramento to form the

3 ACR 102

1 Ghadar Party to overthrow the British colonial rulers of the Indian2 subcontinent; and

WHEREAS, The Ghadar Party sent 616 of its members to India, of whom 86 percent were Sikhs; and

WHEREAS, Homage is paid to them annually at a dozen different gatherings called melas from Sacramento, California, to Bakersfield, California; and

WHEREAS, Sikh history and culture is represented in the Asian Art Museum in San Francisco, in the Smithsonian Museum in Washington, D.C., in the Community Memorial Museum of Sutter County, and in the museum at the Stockton Sikh Temple; and

WHEREAS, Sikh farmers contribute abundantly towards production of peaches, raisins, grapes, almonds, pistachios, okra, and other specialized crops of fruits, vegetables, and nuts; and

WHEREAS, Sikhs have also excelled in security services and transportation services, as doctors, attorneys, engineers, teachers, and small business owners, and in other notable capacities; and

WHEREAS, Dalip Singh Saund, a Sikh who was born in Punjab, India, earned a Ph.D. from the University of California, Berkeley in 1924, initially worked as a foreman of cotton pickers in the Imperial Valley, and later became a farmer, played a major role in raising the funds needed to lobby for the Luce-Celler Act of 1946 that enabled him and others to naturalize as citizens, and served as an elected judge in the Westmoreland County Judicial District from 1952 to 1956, before becoming the first Asian American elected to the United States Congress, wherein he served three terms from 1957 to 1963; and

WHEREAS, Sikh Americans have served and continue to serve as mayors and council members of many California cities; and

WHEREAS, Sikhs have served in all American wars since World War I, including Bhagat Singh Thind, a Sikh born in Punjab, India, who was a United States veteran of World War I, who campaigned actively for the independence of India from British rule, who supported Indian students and lectured on metaphysics throughout the United States, and who has been honored by the Fred T. Korematsu Institute as a "Race in the Courts Hero" for fighting his citizenship case in the United States Supreme Court in 1923; and

WHEREAS, Narinder Singh Kapany of Palo Alto, a Sikh born in Punjab, India, is an accomplished scientist and inventor who

ACR 102 —4—

1 has been awarded over 100 patents that spurred advances in lasers,

- biomedical instrumentation, pollution monitoring, and solar energy,
- 3 and is widely acknowledged to be the father of fiber optics, a
- 4 technology that has allowed for high-speed digital communication;
 5 and

WHEREAS, Yuba City, often called "Mini-Punjab" because of its 10 percent Punjabi population, commemorates the inauguration of the holy Sikh scripture, Sri Guru Granth Sahib, on the first Sunday of November, rain or shine, and this international event has in recent years attracted up to 100,000 participants from all over the United States, Canada, and even abroad; and

WHEREAS, Sikh Americans throughout California celebrate the coronation of Sikh scripture and other Sikh festivals at the gurdwaras and through parades in cities across California and the United States; and

WHEREAS, November 12, 2021, is the 552nd birth anniversary of the first of 10 gurus and founder of Sikhism, Guru Nanak. This day is celebrated by Sikhs in California and worldwide as Guru Nanak Gurpurab and is one of the most important dates in the Sikh calendar; and

WHEREAS, Various Sikh organizations, including the Sikh Council of Central California, the Sikh Coalition, the Sikh American Legal Defense and Education Fund, United Sikhs, and the Jakara Movement, and individual gurdwaras participate in interfaith meetings, seminars, conferences, and functions and share the tenets of their monotheistic religion that respects other religions and welcomes all to their gurdwaras, and try to promote mutual understanding and respect among all peoples; and

WHEREAS, The Sikh American community continues to peacefully overcome attacks on its identity and practices, whether in the form of school harassment, employment discrimination, or hate crimes, including the murders of six Sikhs during the Oak Creek, Wisconsin Sikh gurdwara shooting on August 5, 2012, as well as the senseless murders of Surinder Singh and Gurmej Singh Atwal in Elk Grove, California, on March 4, 2011; and

WHEREAS, Due to ignorance and hate, Sikhs have been the subject of hate crimes because of their articles of faith, including a turban and beard, which represent the Sikh religious commitment to justice, equality, and dignity for all; and

-5- ACR 102

WHEREAS, The faithful service of the Sikh American community to this state and country merits appreciation as an integral thread in the fabric of American plurality; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature hereby designates the month of November 2021 to be California's Sikh American Awareness and Appreciation Month; and be it further

Resolved, That the Legislature recognizes and acknowledges the significant contributions made by Californians of Sikh heritage to our state, and by adoption of this resolution, seeks to afford all Californians the opportunity to better understand, recognize, and appreciate the rich history and shared principles of Sikh Americans; and be it further

Resolved, That the Legislature condemns all hate crimes and bias incidents against Sikh Americans and encourages all Sikhs to practice their faith freely and fearlessly; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution to the Members of the Legislature, members of the California Sikh American community, and other interested organizations or persons.

O

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair ACR 102 (Kalra) – As Introduced August 16, 2021

SUBJECT: California's Sikh American Awareness and Appreciation Month.

SUMMARY: Designates the month of November 2021 as California's Sikh American Awareness and Appreciation Month; and, recognizes and acknowledges the significant contributions Californians of Sikh heritage have made to the state. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) November 12, 2021, is the 552nd birth anniversary of the first of 10 gurus and founder of Sikhism, Guru Nanak. This day is celebrated by Sikhs in California and worldwide as Guru Nanak Gurpurab and is one of the most important dates in the Sikh calendar.
- 2) California and our nation are at once blessed and enriched by the unparalleled diversity of our residents. Sikhs, who originated in Punjab, India, first entered California in 1899 through the Angel Island Immigration Station in San Francisco, California.
- 3) Sikh pioneers initially worked on railroad construction projects and in lumber mills, but by 1910, these pioneers turned to farming in the Sacramento, San Joaquin, and Imperial Valleys.
- 4) On October 14, 1912, the first Sikh house of worship (gurdwara) in the United States, the Stockton Sikh Temple, was founded by Jawala Singh and Wasakha Singh. There are now more than 220 known gurdwaras in the United States, 58 of which are in California, including the Gurdwara Sahib of San Jose, the largest gurdwara in North America.
- 5) On December 31, 1913, Jawala Singh and Wasakha Singh organized the Ghadri Conclave in Sacramento to form the Ghadar Party to overthrow the British colonial rulers of the Indian subcontinent. The Ghadar Party sent 616 of its members to India, of whom 86 percent were Sikhs.
- 6) Sikh history and culture is represented in the Asian Art Museum in San Francisco, in the Smithsonian Museum in Washington, D.C., in the Community Memorial Museum of Sutter County, and in the museum at the Stockton Sikh Temple.
- 7) Sikh farmers contribute abundantly towards production of peaches, raisins, grapes, almonds, pistachios, okra, and other specialized crops of fruits, vegetables, and nuts. Sikhs have also excelled in security services and transportation services, as doctors, attorneys, engineers, teachers, and small business owners.
- 8) The faithful service of the Sikh American community to this state and country merits appreciation as an integral thread in the fabric of American plurality.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Introduced by Assembly Member Kalra (Coauthor: Assembly Member Cooper)

(Coauthors: Senators Pan, Umberg, and Wieckowski)

August 16, 2021

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 103—Relative to California Hindu American Awareness and Appreciation Month.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 103, as introduced, Kalra. California Hindu American Awareness and Appreciation Month.

This measure would designate the month of October 2021 as California Hindu American Awareness and Appreciation Month. The measure would also recognize and acknowledge the significant contributions made by Californians of Hindu heritage to the state and seek to increase awareness and understanding of the Hindu American community.

Fiscal committee: no.

- 1 WHEREAS, California and the nation have been influenced by
- 2 the extraordinary cultural, ethnic, linguistic, and religious diversity
- 3 of its residents; and
- 4 WHEREAS, There is an estimated one billion Hindus
- 5 worldwide, and approximately 2.23 million Hindu Americans live
- 6 across the nation; and
- 7 WHEREAS, California is home to the largest Hindu American
- 8 population in the United States; and

ACR 103 _2_

9 10

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39 40

1 WHEREAS, Hindu Americans in California represent diverse 2 ethnic backgrounds, including individuals of Indian, Pakistani,

- 3 Bangladeshi, Malaysian, Indonesian, Afghani, Nepali, Bhutanese,
- 4 Sri Lankan, Fijian, Caribbean, and European descent; and
- 5 WHEREAS, California and our nation have greatly benefited 6 from Hindu Americans, especially through the Vedanta philosophy, 7 Ayurvedic medicine, classical Indian art, dance, music, meditation,
- 8 yoga, literature, and community service; and

WHEREAS, The year 2021 marks the 128th anniversary of when Hinduism was officially introduced to the United States by Swami Vivekananda in 1893 at the World's Parliament of Religions in Chicago, and the 121st anniversary of when he founded the Vedanta Society in San Francisco, California, in 1900; and

WHEREAS, Hindus are primarily an immigrant community and first started immigrating to California and the United States in the early 1900s, and came in increasing numbers after the lifting of the Asian Exclusion Act of 1924 in 1943 and the abolishment of quotas for immigrants based on national origin in 1965; and

WHEREAS, Most Hindu immigrants have come to the United States as students, in search of better economic opportunities, or to unite with family members, while others have arrived in this country after facing religious persecution in their countries of origin; and

WHEREAS, Hindu Americans and the Vedanta philosophy have significantly influenced notable intellectuals such as President John Adams, Henry David Thoreau, Ralph Waldo Emerson, Walt Whitman, J.D. Salinger, Christopher Isherwood, Aldous Huxley, Huston Smith, and Joseph Campbell; and

WHEREAS, The first Hindu temple in the United States was built in San Francisco, California, and at the dedication of the temple on January 7, 1906, it was proclaimed to be the "First Hindu Temple in the Whole Western World"; and

WHEREAS, There are now over 120 Hindu temples, religious centers, and cultural centers throughout California, and the greater bay area is home to over 40 of those temples and centers; and

WHEREAS, Hindu Americans have greatly enriched California's higher education university systems by teaching numerous students, especially in the academic fields of astrophysics, computer science, engineering, law, planetary science,

-3- ACR 103

psychology, and neuroscience, and a majority of Hindu Americans are in high-skill occupations; and

WHEREAS, Seventy-seven percent of Hindu American adults have a college degree and nearly 50 percent of Hindu American adults have a postgraduate degree, according to the Pew Research Center; and

WHEREAS, Hindu Americans share the entrepreneurial spirit of America and contribute to California's economic vitality, having been pioneers and leaders in Silicon Valley and founding several of its early startups. Hindu Americans are estimated to be employed in 40 percent of startups in Silicon Valley; and

WHEREAS, Hindu Americans have also contributed to many of California's economic sectors and have particularly excelled in the areas of business, law, politics, information technology, medicine, and science; and

WHEREAS, Hindu Americans now serve in various levels of government across the state and nation, including four Members in the United States House of Representatives; and

WHEREAS, California Hindu temples, organizations, and individuals actively engage in seva, a Sanskrit word for selfless service, towards their fellow human beings through charity, public service, and the provision of free medical and legal services; and

WHEREAS, Ahimsa, which is the Sanskrit word for noninjury or nonviolence, is a central principle for Hindu Americans in California, and it provides the ethical foundation for vegetarianism, environmentalism, and harmonious living; and

WHEREAS, Hindu Americans in cities throughout California celebrate numerous holidays and festivals, such as Diwali, which celebrates the victory of good over evil and knowledge over ignorance; and

WHEREAS, Despite their positive contributions to California and this nation, Hindu Americans face stereotypes and misconceptions about their heritage and have been the targets of bullying, discrimination, hate speech, and bias-motivated crimes; and

WHEREAS, Many Hindus and their families in California and the United States face an uncertain future in this country due to inequitable immigration policies and decades-long backlogs for green cards; and

4 5

ACR 103 —4—

11 12

13

15

16 17

WHEREAS, Hindu Americans promote the ideals of tolerance, pluralism, and religious freedom, which are inherent to their beliefs and respect the diversity of all faiths, and the Vedas, the 4 5,000-year-old texts of Hindu Americans, provide the basis for 5 these core principles: "Truth is one, the wise call it by many names" (Ekam sat viprah bahudha vadanti); now, therefore, be it 6 Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate 7 8 concurring. That the Legislature hereby designates the month of 9 October 2021 as California Hindu American Awareness and 10 Appreciation Month; and be it further

Resolved, That the Legislature recognizes and acknowledges the significant contributions made by Californians of Hindu heritage to our state, and by adoption of this resolution, seeks to increase awareness and understanding of the Hindu American community; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair ACR 103 (Kalra) – As Introduced August 16, 2021

SUBJECT: California Hindu American Awareness and Appreciation Month.

SUMMARY: Designate the month of October 2021 as California Hindu American Awareness and Appreciation Month, and recognizes the significant contributions made by Californians of Hindu heritage to the state. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) There is an estimated one billion Hindus worldwide, and more than 2.23 million Hindu Americans live across the nation. California is home to the largest Hindu American population in the United States.
- 2) Hindu Americans in California represent diverse ethnic backgrounds, including individuals of Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Malaysian, Indonesian, Afghani, Nepali, Bhutanese, Sri Lankan, Fijian, Caribbean, and European descent.
- 3) California and our nation have greatly benefited from Hindu Americans, especially through the Vedanta philosophy, Ayurvedic medicine, classical Indian art, dance, music, meditation, yoga, literature, and community service.
- 4) 2021 marks the 128th anniversary of when Hinduism was officially introduced to the United States by Swami Vivekananda in 1893 at the World's Parliament of Religions in Chicago, and the 121st anniversary of when he founded the Vedanta Society in San Francisco, California, in 1900.
- 5) Hindu Americans have greatly enriched California's higher education university systems by teaching numerous students, especially in the academic fields of astrophysics, computer science, engineering, law, planetary science, psychology, and neuroscience.
- 6) Hindu Americans share the entrepreneurial spirit of America and contribute to California's economic vitality, having been pioneers and leaders in Silicon Valley and founding several of its early startups. Hindu Americans are estimated to be employed in 40 percent of startups in Silicon Valley.
- 7) Hindu Americans now serve in various levels of government across the state and nation, including four Members in the United States House of Representatives.
- 8) Ahimsa, which is the Sanskrit word for noninjury or nonviolence, is a central principle for Hindu Americans in California, and it provides the ethical foundation for vegetarianism, environmentalism, and harmonious living.
- 9) Despite their positive contributions to California and this nation, Hindu Americans face stereotypes and misconceptions about their heritage and have been the targets of bullying, discrimination, hate speech, and bias-motivated crimes.

10) Hindu Americans promote the ideals of tolerance, pluralism, and religious freedom, which are inherent to their beliefs and respect the diversity of all faiths.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Introduced by Assembly Member Seyarto (Coauthors: Assembly Members Chen, Choi, Fong, Lackey, Levine, Nguyen, Patterson, and Villapudua)

May 20, 2021

House Resolution No. 50—Relative to California Impaired Driving Prevention Month.

WHEREAS, Alcohol, over-the-counter and prescription drugs, and illegal drugs can impair driving. Alcohol, marijuana, and other

drugs slow down coordination, judgment, and reaction times, which

4 can impair the ability to drive; cocaine and methamphetamine can 5 make drivers more aggressive and reckless; using two or more

6 drugs at the same time, including alcohol, can amplify the

7 impairing effects of each drug; and some prescription and 8 over-the-counter medications can cause extreme drowsiness,

dizziness, and other side effects; and

WHEREAS, According to the Governors Highway Safety Association, 43.6 percent of fatally injured drivers in 2016 tested positive for drugs, with over one-half of those drivers testing positive for two or more drugs; and

WHEREAS, According to the 2018 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, in 2018, 20,500,000 people 16 years of age or older drove under the influence of alcohol in the past year, and 12,600,000 drove under the influence of illicit drugs; and

WHEREAS, Every day, about 28 people in the United States die in drunk-driving crashes, or one person every 52 minutes; and WHEREAS, Each year, more than 10,000 people in the United

21 States die in crashes that involve an alcohol-impaired driver; in

99

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

HR 50 _2_

fact, in 2019, there were 10,142 drunk-driving-related fatalities, 2 according to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration;

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28 29

WHEREAS, According to the California 2017 Annual Report 4 of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Crashes, there were 18,987 alcohol-involved injury crashes; and

WHEREAS, Between 2009 and 2018, a total of 9,288 people were killed in vehicle crashes involving alcohol-impaired driving in California alone: and

WHEREAS, That in 2017 alone in California, there were 1,167 deaths from alcohol-involved vehicle crashes, equating to 3.2 people dying each day; and

WHEREAS, In 2019, the number of alcohol-related vehicle fatalities in California finally dipped below 1,000; and

WHEREAS, The financial costs for a driving under the influence (DUI) conviction is over \$5,000, which does not include the increased costs in automobile insurance; and

WHEREAS, The consequences resulting from a DUI conviction are, on average: 3 months for adults and 12 months for teens with a suspended license; 6 months in jail; three years on probation; 6 months in DUI classes; several months in court hearings; and possible lifetime imprisonment for a murder conviction; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, That the Assembly designates the month of December 2021 as California Impaired Driving Prevention Month; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly prepare and transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair HR 50 (Seyarto) – As Introduced May 20, 2021

SUBJECT: California Impaired Driving Prevention Month.

SUMMARY: Designates the month of December 2021 as California Impaired Driving Prevention Month. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) Alcohol, over-the-counter and prescription drugs, and illegal drugs can impair driving. Alcohol, marijuana, and other drugs slow down coordination, judgment, and reaction times, which can impair the ability to drive. Some prescription and over-the-counter medications can cause extreme drowsiness, dizziness, and other side effects.
- 2) According to the Governors Highway Safety Association, 43.6 percent of fatally injured drivers in 2016 tested positive for drugs, with over one-half of those drivers testing positive for two or more drugs.
- 3) According to the 2018 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, in 2018, 20,500,000 people 16 years of age or older drove under the influence of alcohol in the past year, and 12,600,000 drove under the influence of illicit drugs.
- 4) Every day, about 28 people in the United States die in drunk-driving crashes, or one person every 52 minutes. Each year, more than 10,000 people in the United States die in crashes that involve an alcohol-impaired driver; in fact, in 2019, there were 10,142 drunk-driving-related fatalities, according to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- 5) According to the California 2017 Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Crashes, there were 18,987 alcohol-involved injury crashes.
- 6) Between 2009 and 2018, a total of 9,288 people were killed in vehicle crashes involving alcohol-impaired driving in California alone. In 2019, the number of alcohol-related vehicle fatalities in California finally dipped below 1,000.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

No. 56

Introduced by Assembly Member Levine

June 28, 2021

House Resolution No. 56—Relative to public health.

WHEREAS, Prostate cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in men aside from skin cancer. It is estimated that one in eight men will develop prostate cancer in their lifetime; and

WHEREAS, The American Cancer Society estimates there will be approximately 248,530 new cases of prostate cancer in the United States in 2021, resulting in an estimated 34,130 deaths; and

WHEREAS, It is estimated 25,880 men in California will be diagnosed with prostate cancer this year, and it is estimated that 4,140 men in California will die from this disease; and

WHEREAS, Black men have the highest prostate cancer incidence rates in the world, and their prostate cancer mortality rate in the United States is more than twice that of any other ethnic group; and

WHEREAS, Having a father or brother with prostate cancer more than doubles a man's risk of developing the disease; and

WHEREAS, Advanced prostate cancer commonly spreads to the bones, which can cause pain in the hips, spine, ribs, or other areas in the body; and

WHEREAS, The five-year survival rate approaches 100 percent when prostate cancer is diagnosed and treated early, but drops to 29 percent when it spreads to other parts of the body; and

WHEREAS, Treatment options for prostate cancer vary depending on age, the stage and grade of cancer, and other existing medical conditions; and

+ HR 56 -2-

WHEREAS, The American Cancer Society recommends that a man should have an opportunity to make an informed decision about whether to be tested for prostate cancer based on personal values and preferences; and

WHEREAS, For men who desire screening, prostate-specific antigen testing may begin at 55 years of age for average-risk men, and for men at higher than average risk (i.e., Black men, men with a family history, men with a genetic predisposition, and veterans), testing should begin at 40 years of age; and

WHEREAS, The cost of screening for early detection is a barrier that stifles detecting prostate cancer; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, That the Assembly hereby proclaims September 2021 as Prostate Cancer Awareness Month in California; and be it further

Resolved, That the Assembly urges all levels of government to provide an educational campaign to increase awareness about the importance for men to make an informed decision with their health care provider about early detection and testing for prostate cancer; and be it further

Resolved, The Assembly joins communities across our nation to increase awareness about the importance of removing barriers to increase early detection and testing for prostate cancer so men can have the opportunity to make informed decisions with their health care provider about early treatment options; and be it further Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

Date of Hearing: August 19, 2021

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair HR 56 (Levine) – As Introduced June 28, 2021

SUBJECT: public health.

SUMMARY: Proclaims September 2021 as Prostate Cancer Awareness Month in California. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) Prostate cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in men aside from skin cancer. It is estimated that one in eight men will develop prostate cancer in their lifetime.
- 2) The American Cancer Society estimates there will be approximately 248,530 new cases of prostate cancer in the United States in 2021, resulting in an estimated 34,130 deaths.
- 3) It is estimated 25,880 men in California will be diagnosed with prostate cancer this year, and it is estimated that 4,140 men in California will die from this disease.
- 4) Black men have the highest prostate cancer incidence rates in the world, and their prostate cancer mortality rate in the United States is more than twice that of any other ethnic group.
- 5) Advanced prostate cancer commonly spreads to the bones, which can cause pain in the hips, spine, ribs, or other areas in the body.
- 6) Treatment options for prostate cancer vary depending on age, the stage and grade of cancer, and other existing medical conditions. The five-year survival rate approaches 100 percent when prostate cancer is diagnosed and treated early, but drops to 29 percent when it spreads to other parts of the body.
- 7) The cost of screening for early detection is a barrier that stifles detecting prostate cancer.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

3

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17 18

19 20 No. 65

Introduced by Assembly Member Kiley

August 16, 2021

House Resolution No. 65—Relative to sarcoma awareness.

WHEREAS, There are over 15,000 Americans who develop a sarcoma every year; and

WHEREAS, We lose thousands of citizens every year who were suffering from this horrible cancer; and

WHEREAS, There are two major subform groups of sarcomas that affect its victims, primary bone growth and soft tissue sarcomas, which affect vastly different groups of people; and

WHEREAS, Bone growth sarcomas primarily effect teenagers from 15 to 19 years of age, inclusive; and

WHEREAS, Soft tissue sarcomas are found primarily in adults over 30 years of age, although there are different forms that affect younger children; and

WHEREAS, Sarcomas can affect all people, no matter the race, age, gender, or social status; and

WHEREAS, There are 10 different types of soft tissue sarcomas, which develop in blood vessels, fats, muscles, nerves, and tendons; and

WHEREAS, Primary bone growth sarcomas, including osteosarcomas and Ewing sarcomas, start directly in the bones then spread outward from there; and

WHEREAS, Physical examination, different imaging methods, and biopsy are needed to diagnose the various forms of sarcoma; and

HR 65 -2-

WHEREAS, Chemotherapy, radiation, and other therapy with surgery can help stunt the growth of the cancer; and

- WHEREAS, July is recognized as Sarcoma Awareness Month; now, therefore, be it
- Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, That further
 awareness is needed of sarcomas and the effects they have on
- 7 victims and their families; and be it further
- *Resolved*, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copiesof this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

Date of Hearing: August 19, 2021

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair HR 65 (Kiley) – As Introduced August 16, 2021

SUBJECT: sarcoma awareness.

SUMMARY: Resolves that further awareness is needed of sarcomas and the effects they have on victims and their families. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) There are over 15,000 Americans who develop a sarcoma every year. We lose thousands of citizens every year who were suffering from this horrible cancer.
- 2) There are two major subform groups of sarcomas that affect its victims, primary bone growth and soft tissue sarcomas, which affect vastly different groups of people.
- 3) Bone growth sarcomas primarily effect teenagers from 15 to 19 years of age, inclusive.
- 4) Soft tissue sarcomas are found primarily in adults over 30 years of age, although there are different forms that affect younger children.
- 5) Sarcomas can affect all people, no matter the race, age, gender, or social status.
- 6) Physical examination, different imaging methods, and biopsy are needed to diagnose the various forms of sarcoma. Chemotherapy, radiation, and other therapy with surgery can help stunt the growth of the cancer.
- 7) July is annually recognized as Sarcoma Awareness Month.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

No. 66

Introduced by Assembly Member Akilah Weber

August 16, 2021

House Resolution No. 66—Relative to Women's Equality Day.

1 WHEREAS, The first women's rights convention on July 19,

1848, was called by Elizabeth Cady Stanton and Lucretia Mott to

win equal rights for women and expand the role of women in

society, and it was then that the fight for women's rights came

5 together as an organized effort; and

WHEREAS, In 1851, abolitionist and women's rights activist 6 7

Sojourner Truth delivered her renowned extemporaneous speech

"Ain't I a Woman?" at the Ohio Women's Rights Convention in 8

9 Akron; and

13

15

10 WHEREAS, The addition of the Nineteenth Amendment to the

United States Constitution on August 26, 1920, secured for women 11

12 the right to vote; and

WHEREAS, The passage of the federal Civil Rights Act of 1964

14 barred employment discrimination against women; and

WHEREAS, The enactment of Title IX of the federal Education

Amendments of 1972 guaranteed equal opportunity for women in 16

17 all aspects of education; and

WHEREAS, Many women have planned, organized, lectured, 18

19 written, marched, lobbied, canvassed voters, staged parades,

20 become candidates for political office, argued court cases, and

21 more in order to irrevocably change for the better the world of

22 today's women and girls; and

23 WHEREAS, Despite the many efforts of policymakers and

24 advocates, both women and men, toward ensuring equality for

HR 66 —2—

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

women, gender inequality persists in many areas, as evidenced by the ongoing struggle for fair pay and equal job opportunities, job training opportunities, access to childcare, family friendly workplaces, and against poverty, especially among women and children; and

WHEREAS, Despite important steps to achieve equal access in the workplace and equal access to educational opportunities, women face barriers in education and employment that are not experienced at the same magnitude by men, including the presence of historical male privilege and gender bias; sex discrimination and harassment and sexual violence in the workplace and on campus; the complications of having caregiving duties in the unpaid economy; and being undervalued for their work in the paid economy; and

WHEREAS, Women are critical to a strong and vibrant California economy and play a pivotal role in spurring economic growth in California; and

WHEREAS, Women comprise almost one-half of the workforce in our state and are primary income earners in many households; and

WHEREAS, The lack of affordable, quality childcare and affordable housing makes it difficult for women to provide safe and secure environments for their families; and

WHEREAS, Women's earnings are still far too low compared to men's in California, and women are disproportionately employed in jobs that pay the minimum wage; and

WHEREAS, Women are also disproportionately disadvantaged by the stresses of poverty and the constraints of the social services system; and

WHEREAS, Ensuring the economic security of all California women and their families will benefit people in all communities who count on public policies to meet their basic needs, earn a decent living, and care for their families; and

WHEREAS, The women of the United States have designated August 26 as a symbol of the continued fight for equal rights; and WHEREAS, The United States Congress recognizes that August 26 of each year is designated as Women's Equality Day and the President of the United States annually issues a proclamation commemorating August 26, 1920, as the day when the women of the United States were first given the right to vote and recognizes

-3- HR 66

that same date in 1970 when a nationwide demonstration for women's rights took place; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, That upon the anniversary of the Nineteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Assembly recognizes August 26, 2021, as Women's Equality Day and its historic importance to women's rights, including the battle to attain those rights in the past, present, and future; and be it further

9 Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

Date of Hearing: August 19, 2021

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES

Ken Cooley, Chair HR 66 (Akilah Weber) – As Introduced August 16, 2021

SUBJECT: Women's Equality Day.

SUMMARY: Recognizes August 26, 2021, as Women's Equality Day and its historic importance to women's rights, including the battle to attain those rights in the past, present, and future. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) The first women's rights convention on July 19, 1848, was called by Elizabeth Cady Stanton and Lucretia Mott to win equal rights for women and expand the role of women in society, and it was then that the fight for women's rights came together as an organized effort.
- 2) The addition of the Nineteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution on August 26, 1920, secured for women the right to vote.
- 3) The passage of the federal Civil Rights Act of 1964 barred employment discrimination against women. And, the enactment of Title IX of the federal Education Amendments of 1972 guaranteed equal opportunity for women in all aspects of education.
- 4) Despite the many efforts of policymakers and advocates, both women and men, toward ensuring equality for women, gender inequality persists in many areas, as evidenced by the ongoing struggle for fair pay and equal job opportunities, job training opportunities, access to childcare, family friendly workplaces, and against poverty, especially among women and children.
- 5) Despite important steps to achieve equal access in the workplace and equal access to educational opportunities, women face barriers in education and employment that are not experienced at the same magnitude by men, including the presence of historical male privilege and gender bias; sex discrimination and harassment and sexual violence in the workplace and on campus; the complications of having caregiving duties in the unpaid economy; and, being undervalued for their work in the paid economy.
- 6) Women are also disproportionately disadvantaged by the stresses of poverty and the constraints of the social services system.
- 7) Ensuring the economic security of all California women and their families will benefit people in all communities who count on public policies to meet their basic needs, earn a decent living, and care for their families.
- 8) The United States Congress recognizes that August 26 of each year is designated as Women's Equality Day and the President of the United States annually issues a proclamation commemorating August 26, 1920, as the day when the women of the United States were first given the right to vote and recognizes that same date in 1970 when a nationwide demonstration for women's rights took place.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Introduced by Senator Archuleta

April 8, 2021

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 34—Relative to veterans.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SCR 34, as introduced, Archuleta. Veterans' Home of California. This measure would acknowledge the Department of Veterans Affairs staff for their service to California's veterans during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Fiscal committee: no.

- WHEREAS, The COVID-19 pandemic has had a devastating impact on California, disproportionately affecting aged and disabled individuals for nearly a year; and
- WHEREAS, While the large number of nursing home deaths have been the greatest horror of the COVID-19 crisis across the country, the long-term care system operated by California's Department of Veterans Affairs (CalVet) has experienced a tiny fraction of these most tragic outcomes; and
- fraction of these most tragic outcomes; and
 WHEREAS, Weeks before the Governor's stay-at-home order,
 in spring 2020, CalVet enacted in its Veterans' Home of California
 facilities an ambitious action plan designed to aggressively ward
 off the virus and safeguard California's veterans under their care.
 CalVet leaders adapted this plan over the course of the pandemic
 to include a rigorous program of testing, contact tracing, screening,
 and stocking of personal protective equipment; and
- WHEREAS, The leaders of the Veterans' Home of California established designated isolation areas at each facility and

SCR 34 -2-

established protocols for specialized care and infection containment
 when necessary; and

WHEREAS, The creative staff at the department recognized the physical, mental, and spiritual health benefits of continuing to connect with family and peers and developed alternatives such as virtual visitation through video chat media; and

WHEREAS, The Veterans' Home of California are staffed by skilled and dedicated employees who remain mindful of the role they play in protecting the health and enriching the lives of California's veterans; and

WHEREAS, CalVet's success in limiting the impacts of the virus within its system and the staff's continued devotion to their mission sets these homes apart from other health care facilities across the state and nation; and

WHEREAS, While the pandemic is far from over, the hard work and dedication by staff at all levels in the Veterans' Home of California during the COVID-19 pandemic is second to none. Their commitment to continue to provide high-quality care to our veterans does not go unnoticed; and

WHEREAS, During the COVID-19 pandemic, CalVet continues to fulfill its mission to serve and honor all California veterans by connecting them and their families with their earned benefits through education, advocacy, and direct services; and

WHEREAS, This resolution endeavors to acknowledge and express gratitude to staff working tirelessly to keep residents safe and healthy at the eight Veterans' Home of California facilities; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate of the State of California, the Assembly thereof concurring, That the Legislature recognizes the staff at all levels in the Veterans' Home of California facilities for their hard work and dedication. The staff have been second to none in their commitment to provide quality care and have saved the lives of countless veterans during the pandemic; and, be it further

Resolved, That the Legislature thanks CalVet staff in all divisions for continuing to fulfil their sacred mission to honor and serve all California veterans through administering clinical care, providing housing assistance, offering home loans, and connecting veterans with their earned benefits through education and advocacy even in times of crisis; and be it further

-3-**SCR 34**

- Resolved, That the Legislature honors the tireless efforts of CalVet's employees to protect the health, safety, and prosperity of California's veterans; and be it further
- 4 Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

Date of Hearing: August 19, 2021

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair SCR 34 (Archuleta) – As Introduced April 8, 2021

SENATE VOTE: 39-0

SUBJECT: Veterans' Home of California.

SUMMARY: Acknowledges the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Veterans' Home of California for their service to California's veterans during the COVID-19 pandemic. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) The COVID-19 pandemic has had a devastating impact on California, disproportionately affecting aged and disabled individuals for nearly a year.
- 2) While the large number of nursing home deaths have been the greatest horror of the COVID-19 crisis across the country, the long-term care system operated by California's Department of Veterans Affairs (CalVet) has experienced a tiny fraction of these most tragic outcomes.
- 3) Weeks before the Governor's stay-at-home order, in spring 2020, CalVet enacted in its Veterans' Home of California facilities an ambitious action plan designed to aggressively ward off the virus and safeguard California's veterans under their care.
- 4) CalVet leaders adapted this plan over the course of the pandemic to include a rigorous program of testing, contact tracing, screening, and stocking of personal protective equipment.
- 5) The leaders of the Veterans' Home of California established designated isolation areas at each facility and established protocols for specialized care and infection containment when necessary.
- 6) The creative staff at the department recognized the physical, mental, and spiritual health benefits of continuing to connect with family and peers and developed alternatives such as virtual visitation through video chat media.
- 7) CalVet's success in limiting the impacts of the virus within its system and the staff's continued devotion to their mission sets these homes apart from other health care facilities across the state and nation.
- 8) While the pandemic is far from over, the hard work and dedication by staff at all levels in the Veterans' Home of California during the COVID-19 pandemic is second to none. Their commitment to continue to provide high-quality care to our veterans does not go unnoticed.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Page 86 of 113

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

Introduced by Senator Archuleta (Coauthors: Senators Eggman, Roth, and Umberg)

(Coauthor: Assembly Member Lorena Gonzalez)

April 13, 2021

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 37—Relative to Latino Veterans Day.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SCR 37, as amended, Archuleta. Latino Veterans Day. This measure would proclaim September 20, 2021, as Latino Veterans Day.

Fiscal committee: no.

- WHEREAS, The history of California veterans of Latino descent abounds with acts of heroism and exhibits a heritage of valor that has brought honor and earned the gratitude of our country; and WHEREAS, As early as 1863, the United States government authorized the military commander in California to raise four
- 6 companies of native Mexican American Californians in order to take advantage of their extraordinary horsemanship; and
- WHEREAS, Several thousand Latino volunteers, mostly from the southwestern United States, fought with distinction in the United States Army during the Spanish-American War. Captain
- 11 Maximiliano Luna and others who comprised a portion of the
- famous 1st United States Volunteer Cavalry with Colonel Theodore
- 12 Inmode 1st Officed States volunteed Cavainy with Colone I incodore
- 13 Roosevelt, better known as the "Rough Riders," fought in Cuba;
- 14 and

SCR 37 -2-

WHEREAS, Discrimination, racism, and language barriers meant that many Latinos were relegated to menial jobs or served in segregated units. A number of Mexican American cavalry militias chased bandits and guarded trains and border crossings for the Union during the Civil War; and

WHEREAS, Admiral David G. Farragut, a Latino of great renown and hero of the Civil War, distinguished himself as a military strategist whose concepts and accomplishments served as examples of military excellence. Admiral Farragut was responsible for, among other things, establishing the Mare Island Naval Yard at the City of Vallejo; and

WHEREAS, During the Civil War, Loreta Janeta Velázquez, who was born in Cuba, masqueraded as a Confederate soldier using the name of Lieutenant Harry T. Buford, enlisting in 1860 without her soldier husband's knowledge. She fought fearlessly at the Battles of Bull Run, Ball's Bluff, and Fort Donelson, and spied in both male and female disguises. Her bravery in the Civil War showed extraordinary courage and commitment; and

WHEREAS, The bravery of countless Latinos in World Wars I and II and the conflicts of Korea and Vietnam is consistent with the greatest acts of heroism known in our history, as exemplified by the 20th and the 515th Coast Artillery Battalions, which were comprised of a majority of Latinos, many of whom were from California, who fought to the bitter end at Bataan in World War II; and

WHEREAS, During World War II, General Douglas MacArthur called the Arizona National Guard's 158th Infantry Regiment, "the Bushmasters," one of the greatest fighting combat teams ever deployed for battle. The regiment was comprised of many Latino soldiers; and

WHEREAS, During World War II, Marine Corps Private First Class Guy Louis Gabaldon of the City of Bellflower distinguished himself with conspicuous gallantry by capturing 800 enemy soldiers in seven hours; and

WHEREAS, Staff Sergeant Salvador J. Lara of the City of Riverside aggressively led his rifle squad in neutralizing multiple enemy strong points in Aprilia, Italy, on May 27 and 28, 1944. Staff Sergeant Lara sustained a severe leg wound during the attack but did not stop to receive first aid and continued to fight until he captured the objective. Staff Sergeant Lara was awarded the Medal

3 SCR 37

of Honor for extraordinary heroism and selflessness above and beyond the call of duty; and

WHEREAS, Staff Sergeant Ysmael R. Villegas of the City of Riverside destroyed an enemy machine gun nest and saved his squad during the Battle of Luzon on March 1, 1945. On March 20, 1945, Staff Sergeant Villegas led his squad towards the crest of a hill that was defended by an entrenched enemy. On his own initiative, he attacked five enemy foxholes before he was mortally wounded while attacking the sixth. Staff Sergeant Villegas was posthumously awarded the Medal of Honor for his actions by President Harry Truman; and

WHEREAS, The 65th Infantry Regiment, "the Borinqueneers" from Puerto Rico, served valiantly in both World War II and Korea. Fighting as a segregated unit from 1950 to 1952, the regiment participated in some of the fiercest battles of the Korean War, and its toughness, courage, and loyalty earned the admiration of many who had preciously harbored reservations about Puerto Rican soldiers based on lack of previous fighting experience and negative stereotypes, including Brigadier General William W. Harris, whose experience eventually led him to regard the regiment as "the best damn soldiers that I had ever seen"; and

WHEREAS, During their service in the Korean War, the 65th Infantry Regiment won four Distinguished Service Crosses and 125 Silver Stars. The Borinqueneers also were awarded the American Presidential and Meritorious Unit Commendations, two Korean Presidential Unit Citations, and the Greek Gold Medal for Bravery. President Barack Obama signed House Resolution No. 1726 to award a Congressional Gold Medal to the Borinqueneers on June 10, 2014; and

WHEREAS, During the Korean War, Marine Corps Private First Class Eugene A. Obregon of the City of Los Angeles armed only with a pistol, unhesitatingly dashed from his covered position to the side of a wounded fellow Marine. Still under enemy fire, while he was bandaging the man's wounds and hostile troops of approximately platoon strength began advancing toward his position, he placed his own body as a shield in front of the wounded soldier and lay there firing accurately and effectively into the hostile group until he was fatally wounded by enemy fire, earning him the Medal of Honor; and

SCR 37 —4—

WHEREAS, During the Vietnam War, First Sergeant Maximo Yabes of the City of Lodi distinguished himself when he used his body as a shield to protect others in a bunker, moved two wounded men to a safer position where they could be given medical treatment, and destroyed an enemy machine gun position before being mortally wounded. He was posthumously awarded the Medal of Honor; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Jesus S. Duran distinguished himself on April 10, 1969, as a machine gunner on a search and clear operation in Vietnam and was awarded the Medal of Honor. After leaving the military, Sergeant Duran worked as a corrections officer at a juvenile detention center in the City of San Bernardino dedicating numerous hours of personal time to mentor youth and lead them on educational trips; and

WHEREAS, Operation Desert Shield and Operation Desert Storm provided another opportunity for Latinos to serve their country. Approximately 20,000 Latino servicemen and women participated in Operations Desert Shield and Desert Storm; and

WHEREAS, During Operation Iraqi Freedom, Marine Corps Lance Corporal Moses Cardenas from the City of Fullerton distinguished himself by leaving his safe position behind a vehicle and fought his way across 50 meters of fire-swept open desert against five armed insurgents to rescue a fallen Marine. After sustaining a gunshot wound to the neck that knocked him to the ground, he calmly reloaded his squad automatic weapon and continued his assault until he reached the wounded Marine. Throughout this close and fierce fight, he ignored his own severe wounds, remained fixed on his task, and saved the life of a fellow Marine, earning him the Silver Star; and

WHEREAS, Today, Latinos make up approximately 14 percent of America's fighting force. Since the beginning of this century, Latinos have been among the boots on the ground in antiterrorism operations; and

WHEREAS, Latino veterans, both men and women, have shown and continue to show a superb dedication to the United States, evidenced by the award of 60 Congressional Medals of Honor, the greatest number received by any ethnic group; now, therefore, be it

5 **SCR 37**

- Resolved by the Senate of the State of California, the Assembly thereof concurring, That September 20, 2021, be proclaimed as Latino Veterans Day; and be it further

- Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate transmit copies of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.

O

Date of Hearing: August 19, 2021

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON RULES Ken Cooley, Chair SCR 37 (Archuleta) – As Amended May 20, 2021

SENATE VOTE: 38-1

SUBJECT: Latino Veterans Day.

SUMMARY: Proclaims September 20, 2021, as Latino Veterans Day. Specifically, **this resolution** makes the following legislative findings:

- 1) The history of California veterans of Latino descent abounds with acts of heroism and exhibits a heritage of valor that has brought honor and earned the gratitude of our country.
- 2) As early as 1863, the United States government authorized the military commander in California to raise four companies of native Mexican American Californians in order to take advantage of their extraordinary horsemanship.
- 3) Discrimination, racism, and language barriers meant that many Latinos were relegated to menial jobs or served in segregated units. A number of Mexican American cavalry militias chased bandits and guarded trains and border crossings for the Union during the Civil War.
- 4) The bravery of countless Latinos in World Wars I and II and the conflicts of Korea and Vietnam is consistent with the greatest acts of heroism known in our history. This is exemplified by the 20th and the 515th Coast Artillery Battalions, which were comprised of a majority of Latinos, many of whom were from California, who fought to the bitter end at Bataan in World War II.
- 5) Operation Desert Shield and Operation Desert Storm provided another opportunity for Latinos to serve their country. Approximately 20,000 Latino servicemen and women participated in Operations Desert Shield and Desert Storm.
- 6) Today, Latinos make up approximately 14 percent of America's fighting force. Since the beginning of this century, Latinos have been among the boots on the ground in antiterrorism operations.
- 7) Latino veterans, both men and women, have shown and continue to show a superb dedication to the United States, evidenced by the award of 60 Congressional Medals of Honor, the greatest number received by any ethnic group.

FISCAL EFFECT: None

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

None on file

Opposition

None on file

Analysis Prepared by: Michael Erke / RLS. / (916) 319-2800

STATE CAPITOL ROOM 5108 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 TEL (916) 651-4007

DISTRICT OFFICE
51 MORAGA WAY, SUITE 2
ORINDA, CA 94563
TEL (925) 258-1176

SENATOR.GLAZER@SENATE.CA.GOV



CHAIR

ELECTIONS & CONSTITUTIONAL
AMENDMENTS

SELECT COMMITTEE ON
STUDENT SUCCESS

COMMITTEES
AGRICULTURE
EDUCATION
GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION
INSURANCE
JOINT LEGISLATIVE AUDIT

August 17, 2021

Assembly Member Ken Cooley, Chair Assembly Rules Committee State Capitol, Room 3016 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Request to add an urgency clause to SB-60

Dear Assembly Member Cooley,

I write to respectfully request Assembly Rules Committee's approval to add an urgency clause to Senate Bill 60 (Glazer).

SB-60 allows local governments to impose a fine of up to \$5000 for repeat violations of health and safety short-term rental ordinances. In recent years, short-term rentals have often become the sites of large, raucous house parties. These parties result in public intoxication, underage drinking, and violence. In 2019 alone, there were 42 shootings at short-term rentals.

The Covid-19 pandemic has exacerbated the problem; bad actors rent out homes to host large parties in violation of public health protocols. These unfortunate events have made short-term rental parties an urgent threat that cities must address to save lives and stop the spread of Covid-19. Adding an urgency clause to SB-60 would provide local governments with an immediate tool to accomplish this.

Local governments have been anxiously awaiting this additional tool for quite some time. This bill is an exact reintroduction of SB-1049 which passed both the Senate and Assembly with wide margins, but ran out of time for concurrence on the last day of session.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Senator Steve Glazer

Steven M. Slazer

No. 60

Introduced by Senator Glazer

(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Bauer-Kahan) (Coauthor: Assembly Member Boerner Horvath)

December 7, 2020

An act to amend Sections 25132 and 36900 of the Government Code, relating to local government.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 60, as amended, Glazer. Residential short-term rental ordinances: health or safety infractions: maximum fines.

Existing law authorizes the legislative body of a city or a county to make, by ordinance, any violation of an ordinance subject to an administrative fine or penalty and limits the maximum fine or penalty amounts for infractions, to \$100 for the first violation, \$200 for a 2nd violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation, and \$500 for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation. Existing law also sets specific monetary limits on the fines that may be imposed by city or county authorities for any violation of local building and safety codes that is an infraction, as prescribed. Existing law requires a city or county levying fines pursuant to these provisions to establish a process for granting a hardship waiver in certain cases.

This bill would, notwithstanding those provisions and with certain exceptions, raise the maximum fines for violation of an ordinance relating to a residential short-term rental, as defined, that is an infraction and poses a threat to health or safety, to \$1,500 for a first violation, \$3,000 for a 2nd violation of the same ordinance within one year, and

SB 60 -2-

\$5,000 for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation. The bill would make these violations subject to the process for granting a hardship waiver.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 25132 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:

- 25132. (a) Violation of a county ordinance is a misdemeanor unless by ordinance it is made an infraction. The violation of a county ordinance may be prosecuted by county authorities in the name of the people of the State of California, or redressed by civil action.
- 8 (b) Every violation that is an infraction is punishable by the 9 following:
- 10 (1) A fine not exceeding one hundred dollars (\$100) for a first violation.
 - (2) A fine not exceeding two hundred dollars (\$200) for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation.
 - (3) A fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation.
 - (c) Notwithstanding any other law, a violation of local building and safety codes that is an infraction is punishable by the following:
 - (1) A fine not exceeding one hundred thirty dollars (\$130) for a first violation.
 - (2) A fine not exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700) for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation.
 - (3) (A) A fine not exceeding one thousand three hundred dollars (\$1,300) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation.
- 28 (B) A fine not exceeding two thousand five hundred dollars 29 (\$2,500) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within 30 two years of the first violation if the property is a commercial 31 property that has an existing building at the time of the violation

6 7

12

13 14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

-3- SB 60

and the violation is due to failure by the owner to remove visible refuse or failure to prohibit unauthorized use of the property.

- (d) (1) Notwithstanding any other law, including subdivisions (b), (c), and (e), a violation of an event permit requirement that is an infraction is punishable by the following:
- (A) A fine not exceeding one hundred fifty dollars (\$150) for the first violation of an event permit requirement.
- (B) A fine not exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700) for a second occurrence of the same violation of an event permit requirement by the same owner or operator within three years of the first violation.
- (C) A fine not exceeding two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each additional occurrence of the same violation of an event permit requirement by the same owner or operator within three years of the first violation.
- (2) (A) For purposes of this subdivision, "violation of an event permit requirement" means failure to obtain a permit required for a professionally organized special event on private property that is commercial in nature, or from which the owner or operator derives a commercial benefit.
- (B) For purposes of this paragraph, the following definitions apply:
- (i) "Commercial in nature" means that a primary purpose of the special event is to derive an economic benefit resulting from the holding of the event through admission charges or sales of merchandise that occur as part of the event.
- (ii) "Commercial benefit" means any remuneration received in exchange for allowing the property-on *upon* which the event occurs to be used for the event, including any remuneration that results from the rental of the property for a term of less than 31 consecutive days.
- (e) (1) Notwithstanding any other law, including subdivisions (b), (c), and (d), the violation of a short-term rental ordinance that is an infraction is punishable by the following:
- 35 (A) A fine not exceeding one thousand five hundred dollars 36 (\$1,500) for a first violation.
- 37 (B) A fine not exceeding three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year.

4 5

SB 60 —4—

4 5

 (C) A fine not exceeding five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation

- (2) For purposes of this section, "short-term rental" means a residential dwelling, or any portion of a residential dwelling, that is rented to a person or persons for 30 consecutive days or less.
- (3) For purposes of this section, "residential dwelling" means a private structure designed and available, pursuant to applicable law, for use and occupancy as a residence by one or more individuals. "Residential dwelling" does not include a commercially operated hotel, motel, bed and breakfast inn, or time-share property as defined by subdivision (aa) of Section 11212 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) The fine limits set by this subdivision apply only to infractions that pose a threat to public health or safety. The fines described in this subdivision shall not apply to a first time offense of failure to register or pay a business license fee. Nothing in this subdivision limits the authority of a county, or city and county, to establish lower fines for specific violations by ordinance.
- (f) A county levying a fine pursuant to paragraphs (2) and (3) of subdivisions (b) and (c), and paragraph (1) of subdivision (e), shall establish a process for granting a hardship waiver to reduce the amount of the fine upon a showing by a responsible party that the responsible party has made a bona fide effort to comply after the first violation, and that payment of the full amount of the fine would impose an undue financial burden on the responsible party.
- SEC. 2. Section 36900 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- 36900. (a) Violation of a city ordinance is a misdemeanor unless by ordinance it is made an infraction. The violation of a city ordinance may be prosecuted by city authorities in the name of the people of the State of California, or redressed by civil action.
- (b) Every violation determined to be an infraction is punishable by the following:
- (1) A fine not exceeding one hundred dollars (\$100) for a first violation
- (2) A fine not exceeding two hundred dollars (\$200) for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year.
- (3) A fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year.

5 SB 60

(c) Notwithstanding any other law, a violation of local building and safety codes determined to be an infraction is punishable by the following:

- (1) A fine not exceeding one hundred thirty dollars (\$130) for a first violation.
- (2) A fine not exceeding seven hundred dollars (\$700) for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year.
- (3) (A) A fine not exceeding one thousand three hundred dollars (\$1,300) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation.
- (B) A fine not exceeding two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within two years of the first violation if the property is a commercial property that has an existing building at the time of the violation and the violation is due to failure by the owner to remove visible refuse or failure to prohibit unauthorized use of the property.
- (d) (1) Notwithstanding any other law, including subdivisions (b) and (c), the violation of a short-term rental ordinance that is an infraction is punishable by the following:
- (A) A fine not exceeding one thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500) for a first violation.
- (B) A fine not exceeding three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year.
- (C) A fine not exceeding five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation.
- (2) For purposes of this section, "short-term rental" means a residential dwelling, or any portion of a residential dwelling, that is rented to a person or persons for 30 consecutive days or less.
- (3) For purposes of this section, "residential dwelling" means a private structure that is designed and available, pursuant to applicable law, for use and occupancy by one or more individuals. "Residential dwelling" does not include a commercially operated hotel, motel, bed and breakfast inn, or a time-share property as defined by subdivision (aa) of Section 11212 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) The fine limits set by this subdivision apply only to infractions that pose a threat to public health or safety. The fines described in this subdivision shall not apply to a first time offense of failure to register or pay a business license fee. Nothing in this

SB 60 —6—

3

4 5

6

7

8

subdivision limits the authority of a city, or city and county, to establish lower fines for specific violations by ordinance.

(e) A city levying a fine pursuant to paragraphs (2) and (3) of subdivisions (b) and (c), and paragraph (1) of subdivision (d), shall establish a process for granting a hardship waiver to reduce the amount of the fine upon a showing by the responsible party that the responsible party has made a bona fide effort to comply after the first violation and that payment of the full amount of the fine would impose an undue financial burden on the responsible party.

O

STATE CAPITOL P.O. BOX 942849 SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0103 (916) 319-2085 FAX (916) 319-3182

Assembly California Legislature



ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

DAVID CHIU, CHAIR 邱信福

ASSEMBLYMEMBER, SEVENTEENTH DISTRICT

August 18, 2021

Honorable Ken Cooley Chair, Assembly Rules Committee State Capitol, Room 3016 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Cooley:

At the request of Senator Durazo, the author of SB 330, I respectfully request a Joint Rule 61(a) waiver to allow the Assembly Housing and Community Development Committee to meet and and hear SB 330 after the policy committee deadline.

If you have any questions regarding this request, please feel free to contact my Chief Consultant, Lisa Engel at 319-2085.

Sincerely,

DAVID CHIU

Assemblymember, 17 District

avid Chin

RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 330

AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 27, 2021

AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 17, 2021

AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 2, 2021

SENATE BILL

No. 330



Introduced by Senator Durazo

February 8, 2021

An act to amend Sections 81394, 81420, 81423, and 81440 of, and to add Article 1.3 (commencing with Section 81280) to add and repeal Article 17 (commencing with Section 81560) of Chapter 2 of Part 49 of Division 7 of Title 3-of, of the Education Code, relating to community colleges.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 330, as amended, Durazo. California Community Colleges: affordable housing. Los Angeles Community College District Affordable Housing Pilot Program.

Existing law establishes the California Community Colleges, under the administration of the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges, as one of the segments of public postsecondary education in this state. Existing law establishes community college districts throughout the state, and authorizes them to provide instruction at the campuses they operate.

This bill would require the governing board of the Los Angeles Community College District to develop and implement a pilot program to provide affordable housing to students or employees of the Los Angeles Community College District, and to provide a report to the Legislature, no later than January 1, 2032, with findings and

Amendment 1
Amendment 2

SB 330

-2 -

recommendations on the success of the program. By imposing new duties on a community college district, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

Existing law authorizes the governing board of a community college district to let to any private person, firm, or corporation, any real property that belongs to the community college district if the instrument by which the property is let requires the lessee to construct on the demised premises, or provide for the construction on the real property of, a building or buildings for the joint use of the community college district and the private person, firm, or corporation during the term of the lease or agreement if certain conditions are met, including that no rental fee or other charge for the use of the building or buildings is paid by the community college district. Existing law authorizes a community college district to enter into a lease or agreement with a city, county, or city and county for the joint occupancy, or a private educational institution for its sole occupancy, of the real property and buildings of the community college district, as provided. Existing law limits the duration of those leases or agreements to a term not to exceed 5 years, as specified. Existing law prohibits the governing board of a community college district from leasing real property for less than fair rental value, as defined, to any entity unless the entity meets certain conditions.

This bill would authorize the Los Angeles Community College District to let to any nonprofit entity any real property, as specified. The bill would authorize the Los Angeles Community College District to agree to a rental fee or other charge for that use if the constructed building or buildings are developed and operated as affordable housing for students or employees, as defined, of the Los Angeles Community College District, or for both those students and employees. The bill would authorize the Los Angeles Community College District to enter into a lease or agreement with a nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation for joint occupancy of the real property and buildings of the community college district, if the real property and buildings are intended for affordable housing for students or employees of the community college district, or for both those students and employees. The bill would authorize a lease or agreement for joint occupancy of real property and buildings of the Los Angeles Community College District that are intended for affordable housing for students or employees of the community college district, or for both those students and employees, for a term that does not exceed 66 years. The bill would RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

-3-

SB 330

RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

authorize the governing board of the Los Angeles Community College District to lease real property for less than fair rental value to an entity if that entity intends to enter into a lease or agreement with the community college district for joint occupancy of the real property and buildings of the community college district to develop and operate affordable housing for students or employees of the community college district, or for both those students and employees.

This bill would repeal the pilot program on January 1, 2033.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Existing law establishes the California Community Colleges, under the administration of the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges, as one of the segments of public postsecondary education in this state. Existing law establishes community college districts throughout the state, and authorizes them to provide instruction at the campuses they operate.

Existing law authorizes the governing board of a community college district to let to any private person, firm, or corporation, any real property that belongs to the community college district if the instrument by which the property is let requires the lessee to construct on the demised premises, or provide for the construction on the real property of, a building or buildings for the joint use of the community college district and the private person, firm, or corporation during the term of the lease or agreement if certain conditions are met, including that no rental fee or other charge for the use of the building or buildings is paid by the community college district. Existing law defines "public works," for the purposes of regulating public works contracts, as, among other things, construction, alteration, demolition, installation, or repair work done under contract and paid for, in whole or in part, out of public funds.

This bill would additionally authorize a community college district to let to any nonprofit entity any real property, as specified. The bill would authorize the community college district to agree to a rental fee or other charge for that use if the constructed building or buildings are

SB 330

-4-

developed and operated as affordable housing for students or employees, as defined, of the community college district, or for both those students and employees. The bill would deem the construction, alteration, demolition, installation, repair, and maintenance work performed to earry out a lease or agreement entered into or renewed after January 1, 2022, pursuant to the above provisions to be public works. The bill would require a lease or agreement entered into or renewed after January 1, 2022, pursuant to the above provisions and parties to those leases and agreements to comply with certain labor-related requirements, including, among others, the use of a skilled and trained workforce, as defined, for the completion of construction work, and would make violations of certain of those requirements subject to civil penalties to be assessed by the Labor Commissioner and paid into the State Public Works Enforcement Fund, as provided.

Existing law authorizes a community college district to enter into a lease or agreement with a city, county, or city and county for the joint occupancy, or a private educational institution for its sole occupancy, of the real property and buildings of the community college district, as provided. Existing law limits the duration of those leases or agreements to a term not to exceed 5 years, as specified.

This bill would authorize a community college district to additionally enter into a lease or agreement with a nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation for joint occupancy of the real property and buildings of the community college district, if the real property and buildings are intended for affordable housing for students or employees of the community college district, or for both those students and employees. Notwithstanding the 5-year limitation, the bill would authorize a lease or agreement for joint occupancy of real property and buildings of the community college district that are intended for affordable housing for students or employees of the community college district, or for both those students and employees, for a term that does not exceed 66 years.

Existing law prohibits the governing board of a community college district from leasing real property for less than fair rental value, as defined, to any entity unless the entity meets certain conditions.

This bill would authorize the governing board of a community college district to additionally lease real property for less than fair rental value to an entity if that entity intends to enter into a lease or agreement with the community college district for joint occupancy of the real property and buildings of the community college district to develop and operate

RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

-5-

SB 330

RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

affordable housing for students or employees of the community college district, or for both those students and employees.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no-yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Article 17 (commencing with Section 81560) is added to Chapter 2 of Part 49 of Division 7 of Title 3 of the Education Code, to read: +

Article 17. Los Angeles Community College District Affordable Housing Pilot Program

81560. For purposes of this article, the following definitions apply:

(a) "Affordable housing for students or employees" means a + housing development with a majority of its rents restricted to levels that are affordable to low-income students, or employees who are persons and families of low or moderate income.

(b) "Low-income student" means a student whose income and asset level does not exceed the level required for the Cal Grant A award or Cal Grant B award.

(c) "Persons and families of low or moderate income" has the + same meaning as defined in Section 50093 of the Health and Safety Code.

81561. (a) The governing board of the Los Angeles Community + College District shall develop and implement a pilot program, pursuant to this article, to provide affordable housing to students or employees of the Los Angeles Community College District.

(b) (1) The governing board of the Los Angeles Community College District shall, not later than January 1, 2032, provide a report to the Legislature with findings and recommendations on + + the success of the program.

(2) The report in paragraph (1) shall be submitted to the + Legislature in accordance with Section 9795 of the Government + Code.

81562. (a) The governing board of the Los Angeles Community + College District may let to any nonprofit entity, private person, + firm, or corporation, any real property that belongs to the Los

Amendment 3

96

+

+

+

+ +

+

+

+

+

SB 330

+

-6-

Angeles Community College District if the instrument by which the property is let requires the lessee to construct on the demised premises, or provide for the construction on the real property of, a building or buildings for the joint use of the Los Angeles Community College District and the nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation during the term of the lease or agreement if both of the following conditions are met:

(1) The title to that portion of the building to be occupied by + the nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation remains exclusively the personal property of the nonprofit entity or private + party during the term of the lease and the title to the portion of the building to be occupied by the Los Angeles Community College District vests in the Los Angeles Community College District upon completion of the building or buildings and acceptance of the building or buildings by the Los Angeles Community College + District.

(2) Except as provided in subdivision (b), no rental fee or other charge for the use of the building or buildings is paid by the Los Angeles Community College District.

(b) For a lease or agreement entered into pursuant to subdivision (a), if the constructed building or buildings are developed and operated as affordable housing for students or employees of the Los Angeles Community College District, or for both those students and employees, the Los Angeles Community College District and the nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation may agree to waive the condition that no rental fee or other charge is to be paid by the Los Angeles Community College District for the portion of the building that is for the exclusive use of the Los Angeles Community College District.

(c) The Los Angeles Community College District may enter into a lease or agreement with a nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation for the joint occupancy of the real property and buildings of the Los Angeles Community College District, in accordance with this chapter, if the real property and buildings are intended for affordable housing for students or employees of the Los Angeles Community College District, or for both those students and employees.

(d) A lease or agreement under this article for joint occupancy of real property and buildings of the Los Angeles Community College District that are intended for affordable housing for

RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

SB 330

RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

+ students or employees of the Los Angeles Community College + District, or for both those students and employees, shall not exceed a term of 66 years.

(e) The governing board of the Los Angeles Community College District may lease real property for less than fair rental value, as defined in Section 82542, to any entity that intends to enter into a + lease or agreement with the Los Angeles Community College + District for joint occupancy of the real property and buildings of + the Los Angeles Community College District to develop and operate affordable housing for students or employees of the Los Angeles Community College District, or for both those students and employees, and the lease or agreement is consistent with Section 6 of Article XVI of the California Constitution.

81563. This article shall remain in effect only until January 1. 2033, and as of that date is repealed.

SEC. 2. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that + this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to + local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

SECTION 1. Article 1.3 (commencing with Section 81280) is added to Chapter 2 of Part 49 of Division 7 of Title 3 of the Education Code, to read:

Article 1.3. Definitions

81280. For purposes of this chapter, the following definitions apply:

(a) "Affordable housing for students or employees" means a housing development with a majority of its rents restricted to levels that are affordable to low-income students, or employees who are persons and families of low or moderate income.

(b) "Low-income student" means a student whose income and asset level does not exceed the level required for the Cal Grant A award or Cal Grant B award.

(e) "Persons and families of low or moderate income" has the 17 same meaning as defined in Section 50093 of the Health and Safety Code.

19 SEC. 2. Section 81394 of the Education Code is amended to 20 read:

Amendment 4

96

+

2

3

4 5

+ 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

Page 3

SB 330

-8-

Page 3

21

22

3

4

5

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

Page 4

81394. (a) The governing board of a community college district may let to any nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation, any real property that belongs to the community college district if the instrument by which the property is let requires the lessee to construct on the demised premises, or provide for the construction on the real property of, a building or buildings for the joint use of the community college district and the nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation during the term of the lease or agreement if the following conditions are met:

(1) The title to that portion of the building to be occupied by the nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation shall remain exclusively the personal property of the nonprofit entity or private party during the term of the lease and the title to the portion of the building to be occupied by the community college district shall vest in the community college district upon completion of the building or buildings and acceptance of the building or buildings by the community college district.

(2) Except as provided in subdivision (b), no rental fee or other charge for the use of the building or buildings shall be paid by the community college district.

(b) For a lease or agreement entered into pursuant to subdivision (a), if the constructed building or buildings are developed and operated as affordable housing for students or employees of the community college district, or for both those students and employees, the community college district and the nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation may agree to waive the condition that no rental fee or other charge is to be paid by the community college district for the portion of the building that is for the exclusive use of the community college district.

(c) Construction, alteration, demolition, installation, repair, and maintenance work performed to carry out a lease or agreement entered into or renewed after January 1, 2022, pursuant to subdivision (a) shall be considered public works for purposes of Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1720) of Part 7 of Division 2 of the Labor Code.

33 (d) (1) A lease or agreement entered into or renewed after 34 January 1, 2022, pursuant to subdivision (a) shall require the 35 nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation to certify to the community college district that a skilled and trained workforce

RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

9

SB 330

RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

Page 4 will be used to perform all construction work to carry out the lease 38 or agreement.

Page 5

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

35

36

37

(2) For a lease or agreement entered into or renewed after January 1, 2022, pursuant to subdivision (a), all of the following shall apply:

(A) The nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation shall require in all contracts for the performance of work that every contractor and subcontractor at every tier will individually use a skilled and trained workforce to perform all construction work to earry out the lease or agreement.

(B) Every contractor and subcontractor shall use a skilled and trained workforce to perform all construction work to earry out the lease or agreement.

(C) (i) Except as provided in clause (ii), the nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation shall provide to the community college district, on a monthly basis while the development or contract is being performed, a report demonstrating compliance with Chapter 2.9 (commencing with Section 2600) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code. A monthly report provided to the community college district pursuant to this clause shall be a public record under the California Public Records Act (Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 6250) of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code) and shall be open to public inspection. A nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation that fails to provide a monthly report demonstrating compliance with Chapter 2.9 (commencing with Section 2600) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code shall be subject to a civil penalty of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) per month for each month for which the report has not been provided. Any contractor or subcontractor that fails to use a skilled and trained workforce shall be subject to a civil penalty of two hundred dollars (\$200) per day for each worker employed in contravention of the skilled and trained workforce requirement. Penalties may be assessed by the Labor Commissioner within 18 months of completion of the development using the procedures for issuance of civil wage and penalty assessments in Section 1741 of the Labor Code, and may be 34 reviewed pursuant to the procedures in Section 1742 of the Labor Code. Penalties shall be paid to the State Public Works

96

Enforcement Fund

SB 330

-10-

Page 5

Page 6

39

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

38 (ii) Clause (i) does not apply if all contractors and subcontractors performing work to carry out the lease or agreement are subject to a project labor agreement that requires compliance with the skilled and trained workforce requirement and provides for enforcement of that obligation through an arbitration procedure.

(3) For purposes of this subdivision, the following definitions apply:

(A) "Project labor agreement" has the same meaning as set forth in paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) of Section 2500 of the Public Contract Code.

(B) "Skilled and trained workforce" has the same meaning as provided in Chapter 2.9 (commencing with Section 2600) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code.

SEC. 3. Section 81420 of the Education Code is amended to 12 read:

81420. (a) A community college district may enter into a lease 14 or agreement with a city, county, or city and county for the joint occupancy, or a private educational institution for its sole occupancy, of the real property and buildings of the community college district, in accordance with this article.

(b) A community college district may enter into a lease or agreement with a nonprofit entity, private person, firm, or corporation for the joint occupancy of the real property and buildings of the community college district, in accordance with this article, if the real property and buildings are intended for affordable housing for students or employees of the community eollege district, or for both those students and employees.

SEC. 4. Section 81423 of the Education Code is amended to

81423. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), a lease or agreement under this article shall not exceed a term of five years, but may be renewed on the same or different conditions at the end of the term.

(b) A lease or agreement under this article for joint occupancy of real property and buildings of the community college district that are intended for affordable housing for students or employees of the community college district, or for both those students and employees, shall not exceed a term of 66 years.

SEC. 5. Section 81440 of the Education Code is amended to 36 37 read:

RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

-11-

SB 330

RN 21 17379 06 08/13/21 08:11 PM SUBSTANTIVE

Page 6	38	81440. Notwithstanding any other law, the governing board
		of a community college district shall not do either of the following:

Page 7

3

12

24

- (a) Make a gift of the community college district's real property to any entity that is not established by the community college district pursuant to Article 6 (commencing with Section 72670) of Chapter 6 of Part 45.
- 5 (b) Lease real property for less than fair rental value, as defined 6 in Section 82542, to any entity unless the entity meets one of the 7 following conditions:
- 8 (1) It is established by the community college district pursuant 9 to Article 6 (commencing with Section 72670) of Chapter 6 of 10 Part 45.
- (2) It is described in Section 82537. 11
 - (3) It is described in Section 72682.
- 13 (4) It was in existence on August 31, 1980, and has been or is 14 subsequently recognized by the governing board of a community college district as having a formal relationship with, and working 15 on behalf of, the community college district or a college of the 16 community college district. 17
- (5) It intends to enter into a lease or agreement with the 18 19 community college district for joint occupancy of the real property 20 and buildings of the community college district to develop and operate affordable housing for students or employees of the 21 community college district, or for both those students and employees, and the lease or agreement is consistent with Section 23 6 of Article XVI of the California Constitution.